Effect of an essential oils blend on growth performance, and selected parameters of oxidative stress and antioxidant defence of *Escherichia coli* challenged piglets
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ABSTRACT. This study evaluated the effect of diet supplementation with an essential oil blend (13.5% thymol and 4.5% cinnamaldehyde, EO) on growth performance and selected parameters of oxidative stress and antioxidant defence in *Escherichia coli* challenged piglets. Ninety-six weaned piglets were allocated into 4 experimental treatments (6 replicates per treatment with 4 piglets per replicate) for 35 days arranged in a 2×2 factorial design: diet [a basal diet without additive (CT) or with 50 mg · kg⁻¹ EO] and *E. coli* challenge [sham (−) or infected (+)]. On day 8, half of the piglets from each dietary group was orally inoculated with 4 ml of *E. coli* O149:F4 (K88). The *E. coli* challenge increased the level of malondialdehyde (MDA) in piglet serum on day 9 (*P* < 0.01), and tended to reduce average daily feed intake (*P* = 0.08) and average daily gain (*P* = 0.08) from day 7 to day 35. Dietary EO addition did not affect growth performance but tended to reduce MDA content in piglet serum in comparison with CT group on day 9 (*P* = 0.07). Neither EO addition nor *E. coli* challenge influenced antioxidative enzymes (superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase) activity. The obtained results suggest that EO rich in thymol and cinnamaldehyde had tendency to reduce serum lipid peroxidation level by EO addition, however no such effect was observed in piglets challenged by *E. coli*. So, further studies are needed to confirm the EO influence on systemic antioxidant defence of weaned piglets.

Introduction

Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) infection in weaning piglets causes diarrhoea, growth retardation, mortality, and significant economic losses in pig industry (Fairbrother et al., 2005). The pathogenesis of ETEC infection in pig involves the increased oxidative stress and its consequences (Lykkesfeldt and Svendsen, 2007). In our previous study it was stated that ETEC challenge reduced the inhibition capacities of superoxide anions and hydroxyl radical (Jiang et al., 2014). The oxidative stress results from overproduction of reactive-oxygen species and reactive-nitrogen species (Valko et al., 2007), which alters the antioxidant defence system in animal body (Han et al., 2011).
Previous studies indicated that certain active substances in essential oils (carvacrol, thymol and cinnamaldehyde) exert beneficial effects on animal performance and intestinal health in pigs due to their antimicrobial properties (Si et al., 2006; Trevisi et al., 2007). In addition, beneficial effects of essential oils are connected with antioxidant properties of bioactive volatile compounds (Amorati et al., 2013). In vitro studies have demonstrated that thymol and carvacrol (Lagouri et al., 1993) and cinnamaldehyde (Mancini-Filho et al., 1998) exhibit antioxidative properties. However, little is known about their antioxidative effect in vivo, in particular in the combination of essential oils. Boudry and Perrier (2008) observed that thymol and cinnamaldehyde induced anion secretion in piglet small intestine via cholinergic pathway, which may suggest that thymol and cinnamaldehyde may exert a promoting antioxidative effect in the small intestine of weaning piglets.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess the effects of essential oils blend (containing 13.5% thymol and 4.5% cinnamaldehyde, EO) on growth performance and antioxidant status of weaned piglets under Escherichia coli challenged condition.

Material and methods

Animals and treatments

The experiment was carried out at the facility of Animal Production Research and Teaching Centre of the Polo Veterinario, Università degli Studi di Milano (Lodi, Italy). The experimental protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Università degli Studi di Milano (Protocol No. Dan.Piglet. EOSW0511, March 8th, 2011).

At weaning (24 ± 2 days), 96 crossbreed (Stambo HBI × Dalland 40) piglets (8.63 ± 1.69 kg) were allotted to 4 treatments in a randomized design with 6 replicates per treatment with 4 piglets per replicate. Animals were housed in 24 slatted floor pens (1.2 × 1 m) equipped with two water nipples and self-feeder (4 piglets/pen). Ventilation was achieved by single and variable-speed fans linked to temperature sensors. The temperature inside the building was approximately 28 °C at the start of the trial, adjusted weekly until a final temperature of 26 °C. Piglets were raised for 35 days in 4 different groups with a 2 × 2 factorial design comparing oral Escherichia coli O149:F4 (K88) challenge [sham (−) or infected (+)] and different dietary treatments [basal weaning diet without additive (CT) or with 50 mg · kg⁻¹ essential oils blend (EO)]. The EO product (Enviva™ EO, Danisco Animal Nutrition, Marlborough, UK) contained 13.5% thymol and 4.5% cinnamaldehyde, and the supplemental dose was adjusted on the basis of our previous studies (Jiang et al., 2015a,b). The thymol and cinnamaldehyde contents in the diet were analysed and confirmed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Diets were formulated to meet the NRC requirements (2012) for pigs (Table 1). The EO product was manually mixed with a small quantity of the basal diet (2%) and thereafter added to residual basal diet. Diet was free of any antibiotic growth promoters or antibiotic growth promoter alternatives. Both pre-starter and starter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Ingredient and chemical composition of the diets, as fed basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indices</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ingredients, g · kg⁻¹</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flacked wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flacked maize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>whey powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>herring meal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soya protein concentrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soya bean meal (48% CP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wheat middlings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dextrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soya bean oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dicalcium phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcium carbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-lysine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vitamin-mineral premix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-threonine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-methionine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tryptophan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sodium chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phytase²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feed additive premix³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calculated energy and nutrient content, g · kg⁻¹</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>digestible energy, MJ · kg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crude protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ether extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crude fibre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹vitamin-mineral premix supplied per kg final feed: IU: vit. A 10 500, vit. D₃, 2500; mg: vit. E 15, vit. B₁, 1.5, vit. B₂, 3.8, vit. B₆, 0.025, vit. B₁₂, 1.6, calcium pantotenate 12, nicotinic acid 15, biotin 0.15, folinic acid 0.5, vit. K₃, 3, Fe 100, Cu 6, Co 0.75, Zn 150, Mn 65, I 0.75, Se 0.4, ethoxyquin 150; ²phytase: Phyzyme XP TPT (Danisco Animal Nutrition, Marlborough, UK); ³feed additive premix: control group – no additive; EO group – 250 mg · kg⁻¹ (60 mg · kg⁻¹ EO product which contained 13.5% thymol and 4.5% cinnamaldehyde)
diets were milled through 1.5 mm particle size mill and stored in a cool dry place. Pre-starter feeds were fed to piglets from 0 to 14 day of the trial, and the starter feeds were fed to animals for the last three weeks.

On day 8 of the trial, the piglets housed in one side of the room were orally injected with 4 ml solution containing 10^9 CFU of *E. coli* O149: F4 (K88)-positive strain (Lombardy and Emilia Romagna Experimental Zootechnic Institute, Brescia, Italy). The inoculum containing the K88-positive ETEC strain, isolated from pigs with colibacillosis, also expressed heat-labile (LT) and heat-stable B (STb) toxins (Loos et al., 2012), and was prepared accordingly to the methods of Bosi et al. (2004). A one-way traffic path was implemented to prevent non-challenged pigs to contact with challenged pigs.

**Growth performance, blood sampling, and samples analysis**

Piglets were weighed individually at weaning (day 0) and subsequently every week until the end of trial. Feed intake was recorded each day and the residual feed was weighted at the same day as weighing piglets. Growth performance (average daily feed intake, average daily gain and gain to feed ratio) were calculated for each pen.

At day 0, 9 (one day after *E. coli* challenge) or 35 (end of the trial), the same piglet in each pen with an initial body weight close to the pen average was selected to collect blood sample (a total of 24 samples per each time point). Blood samples were collected via jugular puncture into 9 ml vacutainers without anticoagulant and were immediately centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min at 4 °C to separate the serum. The collected serum was aliquoted and stored at −80 °C until further analysis of antioxidant indicators.

The serum selected parameters of oxidative stress and antioxidant defence were analysed in duplicate using assay kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). Malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration was analysed with 2-thiobarbituric acid, and the change in absorbance was read at a wavelength of 532 nm. Total superoxide dismutase (T-SOD) activity was detected by monitoring the inhibition of nitro blue tetrazolium reduction, and the change in absorbance was read at a wavelength of 450 nm. The glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) activity was measured with 5,5'-dithiobis-p-nitrobenzoic acid, and the change in absorbance at 412 nm was recorded. All absorbance levels were measured using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (JASCO Europe S.R.L, Cremella, Italy).

**Statistical analysis**

The data were analysed as a completely randomized design with a 2×2 factorial treatment arrangement by ANOVA using the MIXED procedure of SAS v. 9.2 (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The model included effects of diet (CT or EO), *E. coli* challenge (+ or −) and their interaction. The pen represented the experimental unit for growth performance, while the individual piglets were the experimental units for serum parameters of oxidative stress and antioxidant defence. Treatment comparisons were done using a Tukey’s post-hoc test. An alpha level of 0.05 was used for determination of statistical significance and of 0.10 for the determination of statistical tendencies.

**Results and discussion**

The *E. coli* challenge tended to decrease average daily feed intake (ADFI) and average daily gain (ADG) of piglets from day 7 to day 35 (*P* = 0.08 for both parameters; Table 2). This is consistent with previous studies that showed that the performance of weaned pigs was impaired by enterotoxigenic *E. coli* challenge (Liu et al., 2013; Bontempo et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2015a). Previous studies about the effect of essential oils on growth performance in piglets have been inconsistent, showing either improvement (essential oils mixture containing menthol and cinnamaldehyde; Maenner et al., 2011) or no effect (essential oils mixture containing thymol and cinnamaldehyde; Jiang et al., 2015b). In the present study, addition of EO to diet did not affect the growth performance regardless the status of *E. coli* challenge (*P > 0.05*). Maenner et al. (2011) added a mixture of essential oils characterized by either menthol (*Mentha arvensis*) or cinnamaldehyde (*Cinnamomum aromaticum*) to the diet at a dose of 0.3 g·kg⁻¹ of feed to improve the feeding efficiency of weaned piglets. Such results suggest that the kind of used essential oils or the supplemental doses determine the effect of supplementation with essential oil on the performance of weaning piglets (Windisch et al., 2008). Further studies are needed to examine whether increasing doses of the EO blend containing thymol and cinnamaldehyde would exert growth promoting effect in weaning piglets.

The activities of SOD and GSH-Px, and the content of MDA reflect the antioxidant and lipid peroxidation status of cultured cells and animal tissues (Efe et al., 1999). There was neither effect of diet nor challenge on antioxidant status on day 0 (data not shown). The *E. coli* challenge significantly increased MDA content (*P < 0.01*) on day 9 (one
day after challenge) (Table 3). Ünlü et al. (2001) observed that E. coli-injected rats had significantly elevated serum level of MDA. The increased serum content of MDA in the challenged piglets may be due to the induced free radical formation (Ünlü et al., 2001) or antimicrobial-mediated cell death (Wang and Zhao, 2009). Our previous study indicated that the E. coli challenge increased the populations of faecal E. coli and coliforms of weaned piglets within 6 days post challenge while dietary EO containing thymol and cinnamaldehyde reduced the faecal coliforms count on day 6 after challenged with E. coli K88 (Jiang et al., 2015a). Francis (2002) reported that susceptibility of pigs to ETEC is mainly caused by the expression and exposure of its receptors on the intestinal luminal surface, while systemic effects might be limited. Antioxidant enzymes such as T-SOD and GSH-Px play important role in the antioxidant defence system (Jaeschke, 1995). In our study, no ETEC challenge effects on T-SOD and GSH-Px activities were observed, which is in agreement with our previous study (Jiang et al., 2014). Several studies reported that essential oils containing carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde and thymol exert antioxidant activity (Lagouri et al., 1993; Mancini-Filho et al., 1998; Zeng et al., 2015). In the present study, dietary EO addition tended to reduce MDA

Table 2. Effects of essential oil blend (EO) supplementation on growth performance of weaned piglets challenged with Escherichia coli

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Body weight, kg</th>
<th>Days 7–35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>day 7</td>
<td>day 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG1; g·d−1</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF1; g·d−1</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G:F3</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>835</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interaction effects:
- CT− | 11.04 | 25.28 |
- EO− | 11.30 | 25.92 |
- CT+ | 10.89 | 23.33 |
- EO+ | 11.10 | 24.71 |
SEM (n = 6) | 0.75 | 1.25 |

Main effects:
- E. coli challenge
  - CT | 0.53 | 0.88 |
  + CT | 0.53 | 0.88 |
  SEM (n = 12) | 19 | 24 |
P-value:
- E. coli challenge | 0.82 | 0.22 |
- diet | 0.76 | 0.43 |
- E. coli challenge × diet | 0.97 | 0.77 |

1 ADG – average daily gain; 2 ADF – average daily feed intake; 3 G:F – gain to feed ratio; 4 CT – basal diet without additive; EO – CT + 50 mg·kg−1 essential oils blend (thymol and cinnamaldehyde); +/- – presence or absence of E. coli challenge; * – means with different superscripts within the same column show values with tendency to differ (P < 0.10)

Table 3. Effect of essential oil blend (EO) supplementation on serum antioxidant property of weaned piglets challenged with Escherichia coli

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Day 9</th>
<th>Day 35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MDA content, nmol·ml−1</td>
<td>T-SOD activity, U·ml−1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Interaction effects:
  - CT− | 1.73 | 107 | 471 | 1.92 | 116 | 623 |
  - EO− | 1.35 | 112 | 546 | 1.94 | 124 | 650 |
  - CT+ | 2.25 | 109 | 507 | 1.86 | 115 | 630 |
  - EO+ | 2.10 | 104 | 568 | 2.13 | 119 | 668 |
  SEM (n = 6) | 0.14 | 4 | 40 | 0.31 | 4 | 32 |
| Main effects:
  - E. coli challenge
    - CT | 1.54a | 110 | 508 | 1.93 | 120 | 637 |
    + CT | 2.17a | 107 | 537 | 2.00 | 117 | 649 |
    SEM (n = 12) | 0.10 | 3 | 28 | 0.22 | 3 | 23 |
| Diet
  - CT | 1.99a | 108 | 489 | 1.89 | 115 | 627 |
  - EO | 1.72a | 108 | 557 | 2.04 | 121 | 659 |
  SEM (n = 12) | 0.10 | 3 | 28 | 0.22 | 3 | 23 |
| P-value
  - E. coli challenge | <0.01 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.83 | 0.45 | 0.71 |
  - diet | 0.07 | 0.98 | 0.12 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.32 |
  - E. coli challenge × diet | 0.43 | 0.25 | 0.87 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.86 |

1 MDA – malondialdehyde; 2 T-SOD – total superoxide dismutase; 3 GSH-Px – glutathione peroxidase; 4 see Table 2; a – means with different superscripts within the same column are significantly different (P < 0.05); * – means with different superscripts within the same column show groups with tendency to differ (P < 0.10)
content on day 9 ($P = 0.07$) but no influence on T-SOD and GSH-Px activities was observed on day 9 and 35, which suggested that the EO supplementation might improve the non-enzymatic reactions of antioxidant defence system, attenuate the lipid peroxidation and has potential to enhance the capacity to scavenge free radicals. Although the main effect of EO addition on MDA level was statistically significant, the influence of EO supplementation on MDA content in the plasma of challenged piglets on day 9 was not significant (CT+ (2.25) vs EO+ (2.10); $P = 0.85$) and less pronounced than in the plasma of unchallenged animals (CT− (1.73) vs EO− (1.35); $P = 0.25$), which may be due to the limited effect of EO on oxidative stress under the bacterial challenge. Zeng et al. (2015) reported that the supplementation of 250 mg · kg$^{-1}$ EO that contained at least 4.5% cinnamaldehyde and 13.5% thymol increased total antioxidant capacity in the serum of weaned piglets. In the present study the EO were added at a dose of 50 mg · kg$^{-1}$, so the further studies are needed to examine whether the increasing doses of EO blend rich in thymol and cinnamaldehyde would improve its antioxidative activity in animals.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the obtained results suggest that an essential oils (EO) blend rich in thymol and cinnamaldehyde added into diet does not significantly affect growth performance of weaned piglets. There was observed a tendency of EO addition to reduce serum lipid peroxidation level, however there was no such effect observed in piglets challenged by *Escherichia coli*. So, further studies should be conducted to investigate more antioxidant indices and to examine higher doses of EO and so to confirm the efficacy of EO on systemic antioxidant defence in weaned piglets.

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by Danisco Animal Nutrition, DuPont Industrial Biosciences, Marlborough (UK). The authors appreciate the staff of the Animal Production Research and Teaching Center of the Polo Veterinario dell’Università degli Studi di Milano who took care of the animals, and Dr D. Sciaccia for the assistance during the project.

References


