Regression equations for the estimation of the meat and fat content in broiler carcasses
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ABSTRACT

Sixty-seven male and sixty-seven female broiler chickens were fed to appetite with standard feed mixtures and reared to six weeks of age. After the end of the rearing period, the broilers were slaughtered and their carcasses dissected into meat, skin plus subcutaneous fat, intramuscular fat, and bones.

The weight of the skin plus subcutaneous fat from the carcass without the wings ($X_4$), and the weight of the skinless carcass ($X_3$) are good indicators of fat content ($Z$) ($r = 0.97$) and meat content ($Y$) ($r = 0.96$), respectively, of whole broiler carcasses. These parameters are easy to determine.

The following equations can be recommended for experimental determinations:

$$ Y = 0.6915X_3 - 18.56 \quad \text{and} \quad Z = 1.1452X_4 + 21.05 $$

KEY WORDS: broiler chickens, carcass, meat, fat, regression equations

INTRODUCTION

From the point of view of consumers, broiler chickens have too much fat (Leenstra, 1986). This is the result of both genetic and environmental factors. For this reason, in addition to improving genotypes of breeding flocks, numerous experiments are being undertaken to improve the conditions under which the birds are maintained to ensure good meat quality. The chickens that are used in such experiments should be evaluated in terms of carcass meat and fat contents. The most exact method of determining the particular tissue components is detailed dissection, but it is laborious and costly. This is why simple methods are needed that will allow the estimation of the meat and fat contents of chicken carcasses.
In numerous studies carried out to date, the suitability of various traits of both live and slaughtered birds for the assessment of carcass meat and fat content has been determined. It was found, among others, that among traits of live birds, breast muscle thickness is a good indicator of the meat content of carcasses (Pingel and Heimpold, 1983), while fat content is reflected in blood triglyceride levels (Książkiewicz et al., 1994). After slaughter, the meat content of carcasses is usually assessed on the basis of the weight of breast, thigh and leg muscles, while fat is measured by the weight of abdominal fat.

In earlier studies it was shown that the weight of the skin plus subcutaneous fat from the carcass, with the exception of the wings, is highly correlated ($r >0.98$) with the total skin content along with subcutaneous and intramuscular fat in Peking duck (Bochno et al., 1998), goose (Bochno et al., 1995), mulard (Bochno et al., 1997), as well as turkey (Bochno et al., 1997) and chicken (Bochno et al., 1996) carcasses. It was also shown that the weight of the carcass without this part of the skin is highly correlated with the meat content of the whole carcass ($r >0.96$). Taking into account these results, we developed regression equations for the estimation of meat or fat plus skin content of the above breeds of water fowl (geese, ducks, and mulards) and the carcasses of turkeys and meat chickens of the heavy line CE-1.

This paper is a continuation of a previous study. The objective was to use the two mentioned traits, the weight of the skin plus subcutaneous fat and the skinned carcass, as independent variables in regression equations to estimate the meat and fat plus skin content of chicken carcasses of approximately six-week old broilers.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted on Avian Farms broiler chickens (67 males and 67 females) slaughtered at the age of six weeks. The birds were fed to appetite with standard feed mixture: starter (up to 3), grower (4 and 5) and finisher (6 week of age). The feeds contained: starter 19.9 and 12.9; grower and finisher 20.1% of crude protein and 13.4 MJ ME per kg, respectively.

At the end of the rearing period the birds were fasted for approximately 12 h, then slaughtered, plucked and eviscerated. The carcasses were cooled at 4°C for about 12 h then cut into parts (breast, leg, neck, wings, back), and these in turn, were dissected into meat, skin plus subcutaneous fat (SSF), intramuscular fat, and bones.

Data pertaining to the liveweight and the weight of abdominal fat, cooled carcass without abdominal fat, breast muscle (from half of the carcass), meat and SSF plus intramuscular and abdominal fat in the whole carcass, was used in the statistical analysis. Moreover, were determined: the weight of the skin and subcutaneous fat from the neck, legs, breast and back (without the wings), and the weight of the skinned carcass. These data were used to compute the correlation coefficients.
between the meat or fat plus skin content in the whole carcass and the remaining traits, and to develop the regression equation.

The obtained regression equations were checked in terms of accuracy of estimation of variables using data from ISA Vedette boiler chickens slaughtered at 6 and 7 weeks of age (10 males and females in both age groups).

RESULTS

Statistical characteristics of the studied traits and correlation coefficients

The liveweight of chickens averaged 2361 g for both sexes, with roosters significantly surpassing hens both in terms of body weight (2579 and 2142 g, respectively) and the remaining traits, with the exception of abdominal fat weight. The variability of fat content of carcasses was greater than meat content.
Among the examined traits, the skinned carcass weight was the most highly correlated with the carcass meat content \((r > 0.96; \text{Table 2})\). Slightly less correlated were whole carcass weight \((r > 0.92)\), liveweight \((r > 0.87)\), and breast muscle weight \((r > 0.86)\).

In turn, the skin plus SSF weight was most highly correlated with the fat plus skin content \((r > 0.97)\), which results from the high degree of autocorrelation of these traits; the weight of this skin part accounts for over 80% of total fat and skin of the whole carcass.

**Regression equations and results of estimation of meat and fat contents in carcasses**

Table 3 gives the regression equations computed on the basis of the data from birds of both sexes taken together \((1,3)\) and separately for males \((1a,3a)\) and females \((1b,3b)\). Equations 3, 3a, and 3b, were obtained by stepwise regression initially taking into account a set of 6 traits \((\text{Table 1})\). Equations 1, 1a and 1b were developed taking into account only one dependent variable \((X_3)\).
TABLE 3

Regression equations for estimation the meat (Ŷ, g) and skin with fat (Ž, g) content in the carcass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Equations</th>
<th>(S_{YZ})</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>♂♀</td>
<td>(Ŷ = 0.688X_3 - 10.44)</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>(Ŷ = 0.709X_3 - 45.81)</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>(Ŷ = 0.720X_3 - 48.48)</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>♂♀</td>
<td>(Ž = 1.158X_4 + 15.37)</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>(Ž = 1.161X_4 + 16.38)</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>(Ž = 1.130X_4 + 21.20)</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - \(X_3\) and \(X_4\) – see Table 1

The developed equations were verified in terms of accuracy in estimating the meat and fat plus skin contents of carcasses. They were used for the numerical data from ISA Vedette broilers slaughtered at 6 and 7 weeks of age from another experiment (Bochno and Brzozowski, 1998).

Of the equations used to estimate the meat content of carcasses, equation number 1 with the skinned carcass weight \((X_3)\) as the only dependent variable is noteworthy.

TABLE 4

Meat (Ŷ) and fat with skin (Ž) content (g) in the carcass estimated with regression equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Weeks of life</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>In the carcass, g</th>
<th>Difference Correlation (R_{Y-Y_{or}ZZ})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aktual</td>
<td>estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ŷ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>889.2</td>
<td>887.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ŷ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>777.3</td>
<td>763.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ŷ</td>
<td>1 a</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>889.2</td>
<td>879.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ŷ</td>
<td>1 b</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>777.3</td>
<td>761.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ž</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>281.6</td>
<td>285.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ž</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>275.4</td>
<td>282.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ž</td>
<td>3 a</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>281.6</td>
<td>287.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ž</td>
<td>3 b</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>275.4</td>
<td>282.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ŷ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>1178.3</td>
<td>1179.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ŷ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>1008.4</td>
<td>997.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ž</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>388.0</td>
<td>378.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ž</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>363.7</td>
<td>358.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ž</td>
<td>3 a</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>338.0</td>
<td>380.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ž</td>
<td>3 b</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>363.7</td>
<td>355.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is characterized by a relatively low standard error of assessment $s_y = 21$ g, which is 2% of the total meat content in the carcass. Also the estimates of the meat content in ISA Vedette broilers, both 6 and 7 weeks old, with the use of this equation were precise: the estimated values obtained were lower by an 1.8 to 13.8 g (0.20-1.78%), in comparison with the actual figures and the correlation coefficients for these variables were about 0.96 (Table 4). The results of estimation using the equations developed separately for males (1a) and females (1b) were similar.

Increasing the set of independent variables by including the weight of breast muscles from one half of the carcass did not decrease the standard error of estimation.

In the next equations, 3, 3a and 3b, the dependent variable was the total weight of SSF plus abdominal and intramuscular fat in the whole carcass. Among the initial six variables, only one was finally used in the equations – skin plus subcutaneous fat ($X_4$); the standard errors of estimation ($s_y$) equaled 9 g (<3.3% of the fat plus skin content in the carcass; Table 3). The results of estimation of the content of skin plus subcutaneous fat in carcasses using these equations were very accurate: in the carcasses of 6-week-old roosters and hens, the results were overestimated by an average of from 4.3 to 9.3 g, in the carcasses of 7-week-old birds, they were lower by 3.2 to 12.7 g.

DISCUSSION

The main purpose of the conducted statistical analysis was to develop regression equations for the estimation of the meat and fat content in the carcasses of broiler chickens. Equations such as these are useful primarily for the assessment of the meat and fat content of birds used in experiments on improving the technology of producing chicken meat. They are usually carried out on chickens obtained by crossing various breeds of meat chickens. For this reason, the study was conducted using data on such chickens, which increased the utility of the developed equations for the estimation of the meat and fat content of broiler chicken carcasses. The equations developed previously for a heavy strain CE-1 (Bochno et al., 1996) are less accurate in estimating the meat and fat contents of broiler carcasses (unpublished results).

The presented results corroborate the conclusions drawn on the basis of earlier analyses of data on the carcasses of ducks, geese, as well as a pure breed chickens (Bochno et al., 1995, 1996, 1998). They indicate also that the weight of the skin plus subcutaneous fat ($X_4$) is a very good indicator of the fat content of broiler carcasses as well ($r >0.97$).

The second of the selected traits, weight of the carcass without the skin ($X_3$) turned out to be a very good indicator of the meat content of the whole carcass ($r = 0.97$). Its measurement is very simple: the carcass is weighed after removing the skin.
The developed regression equations taking into account the above two traits \((X_3\) and \(X_4\)) as dependent variables are a reliable tool for the assessment of the meat and fat contents of broiler carcasses. It should be stressed that the results of these estimations in carcasses using equations developed from data for males, females, and jointly, were similar. Therefore, in order to simplify the evaluation of the meat and fat contents of rooster and hen carcasses, it can be recommended to use the equations developed from the data of both sexes together.

Equations 1 and 3 from Table 3 can also be recommended for use in experimental settings, as well as in chicken testing stations to estimate the carcass meat and fat plus skin contents, respectively. They are particularly useful for the evaluation of the slaughter value of broiler chickens used in experiments on improving the technology of production to obtain birds that have a high meat and low fat content.
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Równania regresji do szacowania zawartości mięsa i tłuszczu w tuszkach kurcząt brojlerów

Szesćdziesiąt siedem samców i 67 samic kurcząt brojlerów Avian Farms odchowywano do wieku 6 tygodni i żywiono do woli standardowymi mieszaninami paszowymi. Po zakończeniu odchowy kurczeta poddano ubojowi, a ich tuszki dysekowano na mięso, skórę z tłuszczem podskórnym, tłuszcz międzymięśniowy i kości.

Masa plata skóry z tłuszczem podskórnym z powierzchni tuszki z wyjątkiem skrzydeł \((X_4)\) i masa tuszki bez tego plata \((X_3)\) stanowią dobre wskaźniki odpowiednio odtłuszczenia \((\hat{Z})\) \((r = 0,97)\) i umiarkowania \((\hat{Y})\) \((r = 0,96)\) całych tuszek kurcząt brojlerów. Cechy te są stosunkowo łatwe do pomiaru.

Do wykorzystania w doświadczeniach można polecać następujące równania:

\[
\hat{Y} = 0,6915X_3 - 18,56 \quad {\text{oraz}} \quad \hat{Z} = 1,1452X_4 + 21,05.
\]