Effect of enzyme supplementation on the performance of broilers fed maize, wheat, barley or micronized dehulled barley diets
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ABSTRACT

The effects of an enzyme preparation on the performance and carcass characteristics of broilers fed diets based on maize, wheat, hulled barley (Bedford) or micronized dehulled barley (MDB) (Bedford) diets were evaluated. A commercial enzyme containing β-glucanase and xylanase was used. One thousand and nine hundred-twenty one-day-old broiler were used for this study. The broilers fed the MDB diets had a lower average daily gain (ADG) (P<0.05) and lower average daily feed intake (ADFI) (P<0.05) than the broilers fed the other diets. There was a significant period x grain interaction (P<0.05) for ADG with the broilers on the barley diets performing better in the grower phase. The broilers received enzymes showed an enzyme x period interaction with the feed conversion rate (FCR) of those birds in the starter phase doing better (P<0.05) than in the grower phase compared to those fed the unsupplemented diets. The birds fed MDB diets had higher digesta viscosity than birds fed unprocessed barley, maize or wheat diets (P<0.01). Feed enzyme addition to the MDB diet caused a decrease (P<0.01) in the digesta viscosity by 49% and an improvement (P<0.05) feed conversion ratio (FCR) both for the starter and the grower phase.
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INTRODUCTION

Cereal grains are major source of energy in livestock diets. The amount of energy available to the animal from the cereal is influenced by the amount and the amount and type of cell wall polysaccharides. Mixed-linked β-glucans are the predominant non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) in barley, while arabininoxylans are the main NSP in wheat (Schulze et al., 1996). This NSP creates a viscous environment in the intestinal tract of broilers, and results in reduced nutrient utilisation. Birds fed hull-less barley appears to have a higher viscosity than hulled barley (Classen et al., 1985). Poorer nutritive value of hull-less barley may be related to its high content of water-soluble β-glucans (Newman and Newman, 1987) and high viscosity compared with hulled barley (Rotter et al., 1989). Although much research has indicated that the anti-nutritive properties of barley and wheat can be overcome by the addition of NSP-degrading enzymes in the diet (Hesselman and Åman, 1986; Bedford and Classen, 1992), no research for broiler growth performance by enzyme addition to micronized barley have been reported in the literature (Preston, 1997). The objective of this study were to compare the reaction of birds fed diets based on unprocessed hulled barley or micronized dehulled barley, supplemented vs unsupplemented with enzymes. Diets based on wheat and maize were used as control.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental diets

Dietary treatments differed by the grain type used, and each grain was fed with or without enzyme supplementation (Tables 1 and 2). The grains used were maize, wheat, hulled barley (Bedford) and micronized dehulled barley (MDB). To prepare the MDB, the same batch of barley was dehulled and moistened to 22% and then micronized on the table of the WestCan Micronizer vibrating at a frequency of 1200 million megacycles for 45 sec at a temperature of 103°C. A commercial enzyme Avizyme 1100, in a dose 1g/kg of diet, that contains β-glucanase, xylanase and protease activities, provided by Finnfeeds International Ltd. was used.

The feed was fed in a crumbled form and available ad libitum from self-feeders. All diets were formulated to be close to isoenergenic, lysine, methionine and threonine. Diets containing about 230 g kg⁻¹ crude protein (CP) and 2942 kcal kg⁻¹ metabolisable energy (ME) were fed for 20 days and diets containing about 200 g kg⁻¹ CP and 2830 kcal kg⁻¹ ME were fed for the last 20 days.

Water was available free choice for all birds. All diets met or exceeded the nutrient requirements of the National Research Council (1988) for poultry.
TABLE 1
Formula and analysis for the starter diets of broilers fed maize, wheat, hulled barley or micronized dehulled barley (MDB), g kg⁻¹ on fed basis¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Maize</th>
<th>Wheat</th>
<th>Hulled barley</th>
<th>MDB³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>592.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>532.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDB³</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>541.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>506.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soyabean meal</td>
<td>350.0</td>
<td>277.0</td>
<td>320.0</td>
<td>315.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat meal</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canola oil</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant¹</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chemical analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>crude protein</th>
<th>lysine</th>
<th>methionine</th>
<th>threonine</th>
<th>dry matter</th>
<th>Energy, ME kcal kg⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>236.3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>905.0</td>
<td>2942.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>227.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>913.0</td>
<td>2941.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hulled barley</td>
<td>220.4</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>914.0</td>
<td>2942.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDB</td>
<td>226.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>923.5</td>
<td>2942.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ in supplemental diets 1 g/kg of enzyme substituted equal amount of cereal grain

² constant components per kg of diet: limestone 7.8; dicalcium phosphate 9.7; iodized salt 1.9; manganese 50 mg; zinc 27 mg; iron 80 mg; copper 80 mg; iodine 1 mg; selenium 0.1 mg; vitamin A 12400 Iu; vitamin D₃ 2700 Iu; vitamin E 20 mg; thiamin 3.25 mg; riboflavin 4.5 mg; niacin 14 mg; calcium pantothenate 9 mg; chlorine 315 mg; pyridoxine 2 mg; folic acid 1.2 mg; biotin 150 mg; vitamin B₁₂ 15 mg

³ micronized dehulled barley

**Experimental animals**

One thousand nine hundred-twenty one-day-old commercial broiler chickens were randomly divided to pens with 60 birds in each pen, 8 dietary treatments with 4 pens per treatment (Table 1).

**Data collection**

Body weight and feed consumption were recorded at 20 and 40 days of age corrected for mortality and used to calculate average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and feed conversion rate (FCR). Viscosity measurements were taken at day 20 of age on five randomly selected birds per pen. The birds
Formula and analysis for the grower diets of broilers fed maize, wheat, hulled barley or micronized dehulled barley (MDB), g kg$^{-1}$ on fed basis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Maize</th>
<th>Wheat</th>
<th>Hulled barley</th>
<th>MDB$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>699.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>628.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDB$^3$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>612.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>607.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat meal</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soyabean meal</td>
<td>267.5</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>227.3</td>
<td>232.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant$^2$</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canola oil</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chemical analysis

- crude protein: 221.8, 218.4, 192.1, 185.5
- lysine: 12.0, 11.9, 11.9, 11.9
- methionine: 3.2, 3.3, 3.3, 3.3
- threonine: 7.6, 7.5, 7.5, 7.6
- dry matter: 903.0, 903.0, 919.0, 931.5
- Energy, ME kcal kg$^{-1}$: 2827, 2830, 2829, 2830

$^1$ in supplemental diets 1 g/kg of enzyme substituted equal amount of cereal grain
$^2$ constant components per kg of diet: limestone 7.8; dicalcium phosphate 9.7; iodized salt 1.9; manganese 50 mg; zinc 27 mg; iron 80 mg; copper 80 mg; iodine 1 mg; selenium 0.1 mg; vitamin A 12400 IU; vitamin D$_3$ 2700 IU; vitamin E 20 mg; thiamin 3.25 mg; riboflavin 4.5 mg; niacin 14 mg; calcium pantothenate 9 mg; choline 315 mg; pyridoxine 2 mg; folic acid 1.2 mg; biotin 150 mg; vitamin B$_{12}$ 15 mg
$^3$ as in Table 1

were killed and the contents of the ileum of each selected bird was collected and centrifuged. The supernatant was pipetted off, and the viscosity measurement was taken twice for each sample using a Brookfield digital plate viscometer (Model DV-II) (Veldman and Vahl, 1994). Random selection of birds for carcass evaluation occurred at 40 days of age. Fourteen birds, half of male and half of female per treatment, were killed and carcass weight, dressing percentage (hot and chilled), abdominal fat and breast filet yield were estimated.

**Chemical and statistical analysis**

Feed samples were ground in a Testator cyclone 1093 sample mill (Hoganas, Sweden). Dry matter, crude protein, calcium and phosphorus were determined ac-
according to AOAC (1990). Gross energy was determined by using an adiabatic oxygen bomb calorimeter (Parr, model 1241).

Average daily gain, average daily feed intake, and feed efficiency were analyzed by analysis of variance using the General Linear Modeling (GLM) in the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute Inc, 1988). This experiment was a completely randomized design, with a 4 x 2 factorial arrangement of treatments, in which animals were randomly assigned to one of the four grains, and with or without enzyme supplementation. This was further split by period.

Differences between means were compared using the Student-Neuman-Keuls (SNK) method at a significance level of P<0.05 (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overall performance

The overall performance of the broilers is given in Table 3. The broilers fed the MDB diets had a lower ADG (P<0.05) and ADFI (P<0.05) than the broilers fed the maize, wheat or unprocessed barley diets. The possibility that difference between groups might be caused by difference in chemical composition of diets, e.g. the crude protein in the starter and grower diets of the MDB diet were 4 and 20% lower, respectively, than that in the maize diet, although the levels of lysine, methionine, threonine and ME were almost the same between the groups (Tables 1 and 2). Another possibility that the difference might be due to different nutrition utilisation between the cereals. For example, the viscosity that occurs due to the gelatinized starch produced by micronization may affect the absorption of nitrogen and carbohydrate (Hesselman and Åman, 1986), which will be discussed later in this paper. There were no differences (P>0.05) in the overall FCR between the cereal grain diets. Although there was no significant enzyme effects (P>0.05) in the overall performance of the broilers, there was a significant grain x enzyme interaction (P<0.05) for the FCR with a better performance for the enzyme addition diets. The broilers fed the wheat, maize or hulled barley diets without enzyme had higher ADG of 4.8, 4.5 and 3.6%, respectively (P>0.05), compared to those fed the MDB without enzyme diet. The broilers fed MDB without enzyme diet had a 3.5% decrease in the ADG compared to those fed the hulled barley without enzyme diet. This is similar to the 3.5% difference of the overall grain effect with the ADG between the broilers fed MDB or hulled barley diets.
TABLE 3
The overall performance of broilers as affected by the feeding of maize, wheat, hulled barley or micronized dehulled barley (MDB) with (+) or without (-) enzyme supplementation (1-40 days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain</th>
<th>BWG(^1) g</th>
<th>ADG(^2) g</th>
<th>SEM(^3)</th>
<th>Sig(^4)</th>
<th>FI(^5) g</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>FCR(^6)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>maize</td>
<td>2338.6</td>
<td>58.4(b)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>(\ast)</td>
<td>105.3(a)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>(\ast)</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wheat</td>
<td>2318.1</td>
<td>58.2(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>105.1(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hulled Barley</td>
<td>2318.1</td>
<td>57.8(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103.9(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDB</td>
<td>2231.4</td>
<td>55.7(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.6(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>BWG(^1) g</th>
<th>ADG(^2) g</th>
<th>SEM(^3)</th>
<th>Sig(^4)</th>
<th>FI(^5) g</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>FCR(^6)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>2310.4</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>2292.6</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain x enzyme</th>
<th>BWG(^1) g</th>
<th>ADG(^2) g</th>
<th>SEM(^3)</th>
<th>Sig(^4)</th>
<th>FI(^5) g</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>FCR(^6)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>maize +</td>
<td>2349.7</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>105.7</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.80(a)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>(\ast)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maize -</td>
<td>2327.5</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>104.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wheat +</td>
<td>2321.0</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>106.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.81(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wheat -</td>
<td>2315.1</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barley +</td>
<td>2332.3</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barley -</td>
<td>2303.5</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.79(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDB +</td>
<td>2238.7</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.77(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDB -</td>
<td>2224.0</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.89(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 body weight gain; 2 average daily gain; 3 pooled standard error of the means; 4 statistically significant, \(\ast\) = significant at P<0.05 and NS = non significant at P>0.05; 5 average daily feed intake; 6 feed conversion ratio
Effect x period interactions

The results of Table 4 showed that there was a significant period x grain interaction (P<0.05) for ADG with the broilers on the unprocessed barley diets performing relatively better in the grower phase than in the starter phase. There was also an enzyme x period interaction (P<0.05), with the broilers fed enzymes doing better in the starter phase than in the grower phase compared to those broilers fed the non-supplemented diets. A grain x enzyme x period interaction (P<0.05) occurred with the broilers fed the MDB with enzyme diet having a better FCR in the both starter and grower phases than those fed the MDB without enzyme diet. Similar to the results of MDB diet, the broilers fed the unprocessed barley with enzyme diet in the starter phase had a better (P<0.05) FCR than that of the without enzyme addition diet, but there was no grain x enzyme interaction effect for the grower phase. The low productive value of unsupplemented barley when fed to broiler chickens has been attributed to β-glucans, which causes highly viscous conditions in the small intestine and interferes with nutrient absorption (Burnett, 1966). The FCR of the broilers fed MDB with enzyme diet was 3.4 and 4.0% better in the starter phase and grower phase, respectively, compared with the broilers fed the MDB without enzyme diet. However, these responses are much lower than those reported by Brenes et al. (1993), who reported a marked improvement in feed consumption, weight gain, feed conversion and a reduced mortality in birds fed barley-based diets with enzymes. The present study shows enzyme addition did not improve the ADFI and reduce mortality.

Carcass evaluation

A significant difference (P<0.05) was seen in the liveweight analysis of the broilers, with the hulled barley diets and the MDB without enzyme diet having lower liveweights than the wheat based diet (Table 5). The birds fed the MDB with enzyme diet were 3.7% heavier than those fed the MDB diet without enzyme supplementation. There was also a decrease of 4.4% in the dressed weight of the birds fed the MDB without enzyme compared to those fed the MDB enzyme diet. There was a significant decrease in the dressed weight (P<0.05) of birds fed the hulled barley with enzyme or the MDB without enzyme diets compared with the broilers fed the wheat with enzyme diet. The lower dressed weight is expected for the broilers fed the MDB without enzyme diet since these broilers had poorer ADG throughout the study. The breast weight for the birds fed the MDB with enzyme diet was 6.0% higher than those fed the MDB without enzyme diet.
TABLE 4

The performance of broilers fed maize, wheat, hulled barley and micronized dehulled barley (MDB) with and without enzyme supplementation. Values are means ± SEM for effect x period interaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain</th>
<th>Body weight, g</th>
<th>Average daily gain, g</th>
<th>Average daily feed intake, g</th>
<th>Feed conversion ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 day</td>
<td>40 day</td>
<td>20 day</td>
<td>40 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maize</td>
<td>714.8</td>
<td>2381.8</td>
<td>33.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>83.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wheat</td>
<td>712.2</td>
<td>2361.3</td>
<td>33.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>82.6&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hulled barley</td>
<td>651.8</td>
<td>2361.3</td>
<td>30.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>84.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDB</td>
<td>631.3</td>
<td>2274.6</td>
<td>29.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enzyme

| + | 680.7          | 2353.6                | 32.2                        | 84.2                  | 46.4             | 170.9            | 1.44<sup>b</sup> | 2.03     |
| - | 674.4          | 2335.8                | 31.8                        | 83.0                  | 46.7             | 170.2            | 1.47<sup>b</sup> | 2.05     |
| SEM | 0.40          | 0.40                  | 0.30                        | 0.30                  | 0.02             | 0.02             | <0.05     | <0.05     |
| Significance | NS            | NS                    | NS                          | NS                    | NS               | NS               | NS        | NS        |

Grain x enzyme

| maize+     | 717.3          | 2392.8                | 34.1                        | 84.7                  | 48.8<sup>a</sup> | 171.9<sup>a</sup> | 1.43<sup>a</sup> | 2.03<sup>c</sup> |
| maize-     | 712.3          | 2370.7                | 33.7                        | 84.1                  | 48.9<sup>a</sup> | 169.9<sup>a</sup> | 1.45<sup>b</sup> | 2.02<sup>c</sup> |
| wheat+     | 709.0          | 2364.2                | 33.7                        | 83.5                  | 48.9<sup>a</sup> | 172.8<sup>a</sup> | 1.45<sup>b</sup> | 2.07<sup>c</sup> |
| wheat-     | 715.3          | 2358.3                | 33.9                        | 81.3                  | 49.5<sup>a</sup> | 168.3<sup>a</sup> | 1.46<sup>b</sup> | 2.07<sup>c</sup> |
| barley+    | 671.4          | 2375.5                | 31.6                        | 84.9                  | 44.9<sup>b</sup> | 174.0<sup>b</sup> | 1.42<sup>b</sup> | 2.05<sup>c</sup> |
| barley-    | 632.3          | 2347.0                | 29.7                        | 85.3                  | 44.3<sup>b</sup> | 175.7<sup>b</sup> | 1.49<sup>b</sup> | 2.06<sup>c</sup> |
| MDB<sup>2+</sup> | 625.1          | 2282.0                | 29.3                        | 83.7                  | 42.5<sup>b</sup> | 165.7<sup>b</sup> | 1.45<sup>b</sup> | 1.98<sup>b</sup> |
| MDB<sup>2-</sup> | 637.5          | 2267.2                | 29.8                        | 81.3                  | 44.7<sup>b</sup> | 167.5<sup>b</sup> | 1.50<sup>b</sup> | 2.06<sup>c</sup> |
| SEM<sup>1</sup> | 0.81           | 0.81                  | 0.82                        | 0.84                  | 0.03             | 0.03             | <0.05     | <0.05     |
| Significance | NS            | NS                    | <0.05                       | <0.05                 | <0.05            | <0.05            | <0.05     | <0.05     |

1 pooled standard error of the means
2 as in Table 1
### Table 5

Carcass evaluation of broilers fed wheat, hulled barley or micronized barley (MDB) with (+) and without (-) enzyme supplementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Wheat</th>
<th>Hulled barley</th>
<th>MDB</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liveweight, g</td>
<td>2725</td>
<td>2657</td>
<td>2556</td>
<td>2582.4</td>
<td>2640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat, g</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast weight(^3), g</td>
<td>441.6</td>
<td>434.9</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>424.5</td>
<td>426.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold dressing, %</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>72.75</td>
<td>67.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 number of birds per treatment = 14 (half of male and half of female)
2 *=statistical significance at P<0.05; NS = non significant at P>0.05
3 weight of deboned breast filet

### Table 6

Digesta viscosity (unit, cp) measurements of broilers fed maize, wheat, hulled barley and micronized dehulled barley (MDB) with (+) and without (-) enzyme supplementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain</th>
<th>Maize</th>
<th>Wheat</th>
<th>Hulled barley</th>
<th>MDB</th>
<th>SEM(^1)</th>
<th>Sig(^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity</td>
<td>2.85(^b)</td>
<td>2.42(^b)</td>
<td>3.29(^b)</td>
<td>3.98(^b)</td>
<td>5.1(^b)</td>
<td>4.79(^b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 pooled standard error of the means
2 ** statistical significance at P<0.01
**Viscosity measurements**

The results of the viscosity measurements (Table 6) show there were differences in the viscosity of the digesta of the birds fed the various diets (P<0.01). The digesta from broilers fed the hulled barley and the MDB diets showed higher viscosity than those fed the maize or wheat diets. Barley β-glucans increase the digesta viscosity and thereby decrease the absorption of nitrogen and carbohydrate (Hesselman and Åman, 1986). The birds fed the hulled barley without enzyme diet had lower digesta viscosity than those fed the MDB diets. The viscosity of the MDB diet without enzymes is very high, which may be explained by the changes the starches undergo during starch gelatinization. Thacker (1999) reported that micronization increased the percentage of gelatinized starch in both grower and finisher pig diet, based on hulless barley, by 22 and 17%, respectively. This would explain the poorer performance of the birds on the MDB diets. It appears that the mechanical dehulling and further processing by micronization increases the gelatinized starch content, and therefore increased the digesta viscosity. This high viscosity product is poorly absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract, and the poor performance of the birds reflects this inability to utilize the diet. An improved FCR for the MDB based diet with enzyme addition might be due to the significantly decreased viscosity in the bird small intestine.

In summary it can be concluded that birds fed a micronized dehulled barley had a lower feed intake and high viscosity in the small intestine, compared to maize, wheat and unprocessed barley diets. Feed enzyme addition can reduce small intestine viscosity and improve feed conversion ratio for the dehulled micronized barley.
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STRESZCZENIE

Wpływ dodatku enzymu na wyniki produkcyjne broilerów żywnych dietami zawierającymi kukurydzę, pszenicę, jęczmień lub mikronizowany odluszczony jęczmień

Badano wpływ dodatku handlowego preparatu enzymatycznego zawierającego β-glukanazę i ksylanazę na wyniki produkcyjne i jakość tuszy broilerów żywnych dietami, opartymi na kukurydzy, pszenicy, jęczmieniu (Bedford) lub mikronizowanym odluszczonym jęczmieniu (MBD) (Bedford). Do doświadczenia użyto tysiąca dziewięciuset dwudziestu jednodniowych piskląt. Kurczaka otrzymujące dawkę MBD pobierały mniej paszy (P<0,05) i miały mniejsze dzienne przyrosty (P<0,05) niż kurczaka z pozostałych grup. Stwierdzono istotną interakcję okres x rodzaj ziarna (P<0,05) u broilerów otrzymujących dawkę z jęczmieniem, których wyniki produkcyjne były lepsze w okresie „grower”. U broilerów otrzymujących dodatek enzymów wystąpiła istotna interakcja enzym x okres dla wykorzystania paszy przez ptaki przyrastające lepiej w okresie „starter” niż „grower” w porównaniu z ptakami żywionymi dawkami nie uzupełnionymi enzymami. Lepkość treści broilerów otrzymujących dawkę MDB była większa niż ptaków otrzymujących zwykły jęczmień, kukurydzę lub pszenicę (P<0,01). Dodatek enzymów do dawki MDB wpłynął na zmniejszenie (P<0,01) lepkości treści o 49% i poprawę wykorzystania paszy (P<0,05) w obydwóch okresach wzrostu.