

The effects of lactic acid bacteria and mannan oligosaccharide, with or without fumaric acid, on chicken performance, slaughter yield and digestive tract microflora

F. Brzóska^{1,4}, S. Buluchevskij², K. Stecka³ and B. Śliwiński¹

¹*National Research Institute of Animal Production,
Department of Animal Nutrition and Feed Science
32-083 Balice, Poland*

²*Institute of Feed Science and Animal Nutrition, Moscow, Russia*

³*Institute of Agriculture and Biotechnology
Rakowiecka 36, Warszawa, Poland*

(Received 2 February 2006; revised version 22 January 2007; accepted 2 May 2007)

ABSTRACT

In an experiment performed on 600 ROSS broilers the effect of dietary lactic acid bacteria (LAB), mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) without (LAB, MOS) or with fumaric acid (LAB, MOS, FUA) in comparison with antibiotic-supplemented birds (ANT) on performance, mortality, carcass yield, and microflora spectrum of the digestive tract was studied. The body weight of birds at 42 days was 2.2, 2.5, 2.4 and 2.4 kg, in the CON, ANT, LAB + MOS and LAB + MOS + FUA groups, respectively ($P \leq 0.01$). No significant differences between the body weights in the antibiotic-supplemented (ANT) and experimental groups were found. Bird mortality in the CON, ANT, LAB+MOS and LAB+MOS+FUA groups was 2.7, 0.0, 0.7 and 0.7%, respectively. Compared with the control group, use of the antibiotic or other additives increased feed intake by 0.49 kg/bird, on average. Dressing percentage averaged 73.5% and was significantly higher in the experimental groups ($P \leq 0.05$). The weight of cold carcasses averaged 1.8 kg and was significantly lower in group CON ($P \leq 0.01$). The pH of the digestive tract did not differ among groups. LAB and MOS with or without FA increased significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) the counts of *Streptococcus* ($P \leq 0.01$) and *Lactobacillus* in the ileal digesta. The *Streptococcus* and *Escherichia coli* counts in the caecal digesta decreased significantly ($P \leq 0.01$). No *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and *Campylobacter* were found in the small intestine or caecum of any of the groups.

KEY WORDS: broiler chicken, lactic acid bacteria, mannan oligosaccharide, fumaric acid, performance, slaughter yield, digestive tract microbiota

¹ Corresponding author: e-mail: fbrzoska@izoo.krakow.pl

INTRODUCTION

Probiotic lactic acid bacteria and oligosaccharides have shown positive effects on the digestive tract of birds (Cavazzoni et al., 1998; Brzóška et al., 1999; Audisio et al., 2000; Spring et al., 2000). Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) living symbiotically in the digestive tract of birds were found to enhance the immunity of animals to pathogenic bacteria (Zulkifli et al., 2000). LAB have adhesive properties and can colonize different parts of the avian digestive tract (Jin et al., 1996). By metabolizing glucose LAB produce lactic acid, which acidifies the digestive milieu, and bacteriocines that inhibit pathogenic bacteria such as *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli*, *Campylobacter* and *Listeria* (Joerger, 2003; Patterson and Burkholder, 2003). Beneficial effects of probiotic bacteria on reducing mortality and increasing the body weight of broilers have been reported (Cavazzoni et al., 1998; Brzóška et al., 1999). *Enterococcus faecium* were shown to inhibit the mortality of chickens infected with *Salmonella pullorum* (Audisio et al., 2000). Mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) is a product derived from yeast cell walls, and is not digested by animals. The optimum amount of MOS in bird diets is considered to be 10-20 g/kg diet (Savage and Zakrzewska, 1996). Low-molecular organic acids, such as fumaric or formic acids, also improve the microbiological quality of feeds and reduce the buffering capacity of digesta and the levels of some microorganisms in the feed and caecum of birds (Van der Wielen et al., 2000; Chaveerach et al., 2004).

The aim of this study was to evaluate if LAB and MOS given together, with or without fumaric acid (FUA), would have a positive effect on the performance of broilers and reduce undesired intestinal microflora counts in chickens in comparison with birds receiving feed without additives or with an antibiotic.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A total of 600 ROSS 1-day-old unsexed broiler chickens were randomly allotted to 4 groups, two replications of 75 birds per group. Chickens were kept in cages with sawdust floors, stocking density was 13.2 birds/m². Broilers were fed maize, wheat and soyabean meal diets to 42 days of age (Table 1). The control group (CON) receive the diet without additives, the ANT group received the diet with the antibiotic Flavomycin (5 mg/kg feed). The third group (MOS) received oligosaccharide (1 g/kg feed) and lactic acid bacteria (LAB). The fourth group was given MOS (1 g/kg feed), lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and fumaric acid (FUA) (9.7 g/kg). The LAB used in the study contained the following strains of bacteria: *L. paracasei* KKP 824, *L. rhamnosus* KKP 825 and *L. rhamnosus*

KKP 826 (6.7×10^8 cfu/g) at a 1:2:2 ratio (Institute of Agricultural and Food Biotechnology, Warsaw). The bacteria were used at rate of 3 million bacterial

Table 1. Feed composition and nutritive value, g·kg⁻¹

Item	Diet	
	starter, 1-21 days	grower, 22-42 days
<i>Ingredients</i>		
maize	380.0	300.0
wheat	265.0	301.0
soyabean meal	281.0	325.0
rape seed oil	40.0	40.0
dicalcium phosphate	17.0	17.0
limestone	6.0	6.0
NaCl	3.5	3.5
L-lysine HCl (78%)	1.1	1.1
DL-methionine (99%)	1.4	1.4
vitamin-mineral premix ¹	5.0	5.0
<i>Nutrients in 1 kg of dry matter</i>		
crude protein, g	209.9	194.7
lysine, g	11.9	10.6
methionine + cystine, g	4.6	4.4
calcium, g	8.6	8.5
phosphorus, g	4.0	4.0
metabolizable energy, MJ	12.39	12.61

¹ supplied to 1 kg of starter diet, IU: vit. A 13 5000; vit. D₃ 3 600; mg: vit. E 45; vit. B₁ 3.25; vit. B₂ 7.5; vit. B₆ 5; vit. B₁₂ 0.0325; vit. K₃ 3; biotin 0.15; nicotinic acid 45; Ca-pantothenate 15; folic acid 1.5; choline chloride 100; Mn 100; Cu 1.75; Fe 76.5; Se 0.275; I 1; Zn 75; Co 0.4; Endox (antioxidant) 125; g: Sincox (coccidiostat) 1; Ca 0.679 supplied to 1 kg of grower diet, IU: vit. A 12 000; vit. D₃ 3 250; mg: vit. E 40; vit. B₁ 2; vit. B₂ 7.25; vit. B₆ 4.25; vit. B₁₂ 0.03; vit. K₃ 2.25; biotin 0.1; nicotinic acid 40; Ca-pantothenate 12; folic acid 1.0; choline chloride 450; Mn 100; Cu 1.75; Fe 76.5; Se 0.275; I 1; Zn 75; Co 0.4; Endox (antioxidant) 125; g: Sincox (coccidiostat) 1; Ca 0.79

cells/bird/day. LAB were added to drinking water for the first two days starting directly after the chickens were introduced into the boxes. The procedure was repeated on days 22-23 of rearing. The MOS (BIOMOS) feed additive was from Alltech-Polska Co., FUA originated from ORFFA-Polska Comp.

Chickens were fed *ad libitum* with starter and grower diets (Table 1). Water was supplied *via* trough-type waterers. Chickens were weighed individually on days 21 and 42 of life, after 12 h fasting. During the experiment mortality was recorded, feed intake was measured per pen, average feed intake per bird was calculated.

Intestinal microflora was examined in five 35-day-old randomly chosen birds from each pen, ten birds from group. Digesta from the small intestine, caecum and cloaca was collected immediately after slaughter. Digesta was evacuated, mixed and pH was measured. Samples for microflora analyses were taken into sterile tubes. The microbiological analyses were performed in accordance with the procedure recommended by the National Institute of Hygiene (Poland) (unpublished). Samples

(1 g fresh mass) were mixed with 5 ml 0.85% physiological saline solution and spread with a calibrated loop on to MacConkey (differentiating plate for gram-negative rods, including *Enterobacteriaceae*), SS (selective plate for *Salmonella* and *Shigella* rods), and blood agar plates (preventing the growth of gram-positive and gram-negative microorganisms and some fungi). The plates were then incubated for 24 h at 37°C and the number and types of colonies that had grown were evaluated. Tests for *Salmonella* and *Shigella* were performed on a liquid with acid sodium selenite and a latex assay was performed after 18-24 h of incubation using a BIOMEX kit. *Campylobacter* tests were performed by incubation under a nitrogen atmosphere on selective agar plates (Oxoid) and placed into an anaerostat jar with a kit generating a microaerophilic atmosphere. The cultures were incubated for 48 h at 37°C. The grown colonies were evaluated on the basis of morphology, direct and Gram-stained slides, and preliminary tests differentiating the production of catalase and oxidase. Colonies were identified on the basis of the National Institute of Hygiene (Poland) methodology (unpublished). *Clostridium* tests were performed by incubation under a nitrogen atmosphere on selective agar plates (Oxoid) and placed into an anaerostat jar with a kit generating an anaerobic atmosphere. The cultures were incubated for 48 h at 37°C. The grown colonies were evaluated on the basis of morphology and direct and Gram-stained slides. Suspicious colonies were identified using the ATB Expression system (bioMerieux).

On day 43 of age, 10 birds (5 males and 5 females) were randomly chosen from each group and slaughtered. Hot carcasses, gizzard, liver, breast and leg muscles were weighed postmortem and the parts were stored in a cold room at 5°C for 24 h. The pH of breast muscle (*M. pectoralis maior and minor*) was measured 1 and 24 h postmortem. On the following day, carcasses were dissected according to the procedure given by Zgłobica and Różycka (1972), and the weights of cold carcass, breast muscle and leg muscle were determined. Samples of the right breast muscle were minced and frozen prior to subsequent chemical analysis. After thawing, the samples were analysed for dry matter, crude protein, crude fat and crude ash content (AOAC, 1990).

The results were calculated statistically with one-way analysis of variance and the new multiple Duncan's range test (SAS Institute, 1989).

RESULTS

Feeding the chickens LAB, MOS and FUA resulted in a final body weight that was 1.3% lower than the body weight of ANT-supplemented chickens, but 10.3% higher than that of the unsupplemented control chickens ($P < 0.01$). The difference between the control and experimental groups was highly significant ($P < 0.01$), while the differences between the ANT group and the experimental

groups were not significant (Table 2). Mortality in the experimental groups was lower than in the control. The use of the antibiotic and LAB with the other

Table 2. Performance of broiler chickens

Item	Dietary treatment				SD
	control	antibiotics	LAB MOS	LAB MOS FUA	
Body weight, kg					
21 day	0.587 ^{aA}	0.703 ^{bB}	0.732 ^{bB}	0.720 ^{bB}	0.120
42 day	2.198 ^{aA}	2.456 ^{bB}	2.398 ^{bB}	2.450 ^{bB}	0.333
Mortality, %	2.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.9
Feed consumption, kg/42 days	3.71	4.34	4.16	4.10	0.56
Feed conversion ratio, kg/kg BWG	1.77	1.76	1.77	1.69	0.23

LAB - lactic acid bacteria; MOS - mannan oligosaccharide; FUA - fumaric acid

^{a,b,A,B} values in the same rows with different letters differ significantly at (P<0.05) and at (P< 0.01)

additives increased feed intake and decreased feed conversion compared with the control group, but the differences were not significant.

LAB together with the other additives in both experimental groups significantly increased the weight of carcasses and the dressing percentage (P<0.01). Giving the chickens a diet containing antibiotic or LAB and the other feed additives significantly reduced the proportion of liver in body weight (P<0.01; Table 3).

Table 3. Slaughter yield, dressing percentage, breast and leg muscles weight

Item	Dietary treatment				SD
	control	antibiotics	LAB MOS	LAB MOS FUA	
Live body weight (LBW), kg	2.236 ^{aA}	2.450 ^{bBC}	2.408 ^{bB}	2.489 ^{cB}	0.191
Carcass weight, kg	1.622 ^{aA}	1.792 ^{bB}	1.844 ^{bB}	1.844 ^{bB}	0.197
Dressing percentage, %	72.54 ^a	73.14 ^a	74.09 ^b	74.09 ^b	1.47
% of LBW: breast muscles	24.64	24.68	24.33	24.33	1.20
leg muscle	22.17	21.73	21.70	21.70	1.15
gizzard	1.34	1.38	1.47	1.47	0.22
liver	2.54 ^b	2.58 ^b	2.09 ^a	2.09 ^a	0.33
abdominal fat	2.03 ^{aA}	2.53 ^{bB}	2.54 ^{bB}	2.54 ^{bB}	0.29

^{a,b,c,A,B,C} values in the same rows with different letters differ significantly at (P<0.05) and at (P<0.01) abbreviations see Table 2

The experimental groups were characterized by significantly higher fatness (P<0.01). Significant differences were in pH 24 h postmortem of ANT chickens compared with controls (P<0.01). There were no significant differences in the dry

matter, protein, fat or ash contents of breast muscle among the birds of different groups (Table 4).

Table 4. Chemical composition (% DM) and pH of breast meat

Item	Dietary treatment				SD
	control	antibiotics	LAB MOS	LAB MOS FUA	
Dry matter, %	25.1	25.5	25.4	25.3	0.50
Crude protein, % DM	23.6	24.0	23.9	23.8	0.04
Crude fat, % DM	0.83	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.50
Crude ash, % DM	1.18	1.23	1.19	1.19	0.39
pH, 1 h	6.12	5.92	5.96	6.01	0.17
pH, 24 h	6.06 ^{bb}	5.89 ^{aA}	5.96 ^{abAB}	5.97 ^{abAB}	0.04

^{a,b,A,B} values in the same rows with different letters differ significantly at ($P < 0.05$) and at ($P < 0.01$) abbreviations see Table 2

The differences in the pH of the small intestine, caecum and cloaca digesta, between the groups of birds were not statistically significant (Table 5).

Table 5. pH of digesta

Part of intestinal tract	Dietary treatment				SD
	control	antibiotics	LAB MOS	LAB MOS FUA	
Crop	4.68	4.75	4.82	4.41	0.34
Ileum	5.97	5.92	6.16	6.09	0.25
Caeca	6.41	6.39	6.62	6.47	0.32
Cloaca	6.18	6.09	6.28	6.4	0.29

all differences in the rows were not significant
abbreviations see Table 2

The lactic acid bacteria and mannan oligosaccharide with or without fumaric acid, administered to chickens, increased ($P \leq 0.01$) the counts of *Streptococcus* and *Lactobacillus* bacteria in the ileum. The counts of *Streptococcus* and *Escherichia coli* bacteria in the caecum decreased significantly ($P \leq 0.01$). No *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Campylobacter* or *Clostridium* were found in the small intestine or caecal digesta of any of the bird groups (Table 6). The *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. in any sample of ileal digesta and *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp. and *Clostridium* spp. in any sample of caecal digesta were not detected.

Table 6. Viable counts of microflora in the ileal and fresh digesta of broilers, log cfu/g

Species of microflora	Dietary treatment				SD
	control	antibiotics	LAB MOS	LAB MOS FUA	
Ileal digesta					
<i>Enterococcus</i>	4.40 ^{bb}	2.35 ^{aa}	4.51 ^{bb}	4.62 ^{bb}	3.11
<i>Streptococcus</i>	1.58 ^{aa}	2.50 ^{bb}	2.50 ^{bb}	4.53 ^{cc}	1.62
<i>Lactobacillus</i>	7.89 ^a	7.76 ^a	8.96 ^b	8.82 ^b	2.72
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	4.52	5.50	5.08	5.12	2.80
<i>Campylobacter</i>	nd	4.12	nd	nd	1.19
<i>Clostridium</i>	2.59	nd	nd	nd	1.08
<i>Bacillus</i>	nd	2.48	5.09	2.51	1.87
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	nd	nd	2.52	nd	1.07
<i>Enterobacter</i>	nd	nd	nd	2.49	0.96
<i>Corynebacterium</i>	2.52	nd	nd	nd	1.01
Caecal digesta					
<i>Enterococcus</i>	3.52	3.38	3.00	3.56	1.33
<i>Streptococcus</i>	8.38 ^{bb}	3.98 ^{aa}	4.05 ^{aa}	4.15 ^{aa}	3.18
<i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.	7.50	7.30	8.82	8.90	2.18
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	7.68 ^{cc}	7.26 ^{cc}	5.12 ^{bb}	3.33 ^{aa}	2.99
<i>Campylobacter</i> spp.	nd	4.47	nd	nd	1.21
<i>Bacillus</i> spp.	nd	nd	2.48	2.53	1.13
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	nd	2.50	nd	nd	1.09

^{a,b,A,B} values in the same rows with different letters differ significantly at ($P < 0.05$) and at ($P < 0.01$) abbreviations see Table 2

DISCUSSION

The present results demonstrate the beneficial effect of the probiotic composed of *Lactobacillus paracasei* KKP 824, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* KKP 825 and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* KKP 826 on the growth and survival of broiler chickens, when used concurrently with MOS, with or without FUA. Also Simon et al. (2001) and Patterson and Burkholder (2003) reported positive effects of broiler diets supplemented with *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Bacillus*, *Enterococcus* and *Streptococcus* bacteria. The bacterial flora of the digestive tract is the first barrier that protects the host's organism against pathogen colonization. The beneficial effect of lactic acid bacteria on birds involves the colonization of the mucous membrane of different parts of the digestive tract, and protection of the mucous membrane against pathogenic bacteria. Lactic acid bacteria ferment glucose in the digestive tract of birds into lactic and acetic acids. It was found that some species of lactic acid bacteria (e.g., *Enterococcus faecium*) inhibit the growth

of *Salmonella pullorum*, thus reducing the mortality of experimentally infected chickens (Audisio et al., 2000). It was also shown that *Lactobacillus* increase immunoresistance to infections with *Eimeria acervulina* (Dalloul et al., 2003). Another beneficial activity of lactic acid bacteria is the synthesis of bacteriocines (Joerger, 2003).

The strains of *Lactobacillus paracasei* and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* used in this study had a favourable effect on feed intake and lowered chicken mortality. A beneficial effect was seen when the probiotic was provided in conjunction with the mannan oligosaccharide and fumaric acid. Oligo- and polysaccharides, known as prebiotics, are not digested in the digestive tract of chickens. It has been hypothesized that they are able to coat the mucous membrane with a thin protective layer that inhibits the adhesion of pathogenic bacteria (Gibson and Roberfroid, 1995). Mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) derived from yeast cell walls, used at a rate of 0.11% of the diet, significantly increased the body weight gains of turkeys to 8 weeks of age and feed conversion, compared with the unsupplemented group (Savage and Zakrzewska, 1996). It has also been shown that dietary MOS reduces the levels of *Salmonella* and bacterial spores in the intestines of broiler chickens (Spring et al., 2000). Savage and Zakrzewska (1996) suggest that MOS can increase the concentration of immunoglobulins in the intestines, which may limit the number of pathogens such as *Clostridium perfringens*.

Improvements in body weight, compared with the unsupplemented control group, were obtained in the present study by the use of lactic acid bacteria and mannan oligosaccharide with fumaric acid. Organic acids, including acetic, formic, propionic and fumaric acids are used as factors against colonization by moulds and *Salmonella* spp. (Berchieri and Barrow, 1996). Fumaric acid used in feeds for poultry and pigs reduced the buffering capacity and the pH of digesta in the terminal parts of the digestive tract, including the caecum and cloaca. However, this preventive action was not confirmed in our study.

The perspective of using short-chain organic acids as an antibacterial factor in poultry nutrition was discussed by Ricke (2003). The concurrent use of three experimental factors (lactic acid bacteria, mannan oligosaccharide and fumaric acid) in the present study produced results similar to the use of lactic acid bacteria and mannan oligosaccharide alone. Based on the obtained results it is difficult to reach a conclusion concerning the effect of fumaric acid on chickens. Significant differences were found in the weight of the liver, which significantly decreased in the experimental groups. Chicken fatness did not exceed the permissible standards and was similar to the values obtained by Brzóška et al. (1999) and Pesti et al. (2002).

A significantly lower pH of breast muscle was found in the chickens from the antibiotic group (ANT) compared with the controls (CON). Muscle pH is considered to be one of the most important physical parameters of meat quality

and is widely used as an indicator of the technological and sensory quality of poultry meat (Fletcher, 1999). Muscle pH after slaughter decreases as a result of the biochemical process of tissue glycogen conversion into lactic acid. It is supposed that the glycolytic enzymes responsible for this process become deactivated once tissues reach a certain degree of acidity (Young et al., 2004). The results of the present study indicate that the type of antibacterial supplement used does not have a significant effect on the chemical composition of the breast muscle of broilers.

Microbiological analyses of the small intestine digesta showed that the probiotic increased the intestinal counts of *Enterococcus*, *Streptococcus* and *Lactobacillus* bacteria compared with the unsupplemented control group and with the antibiotic-supplemented group. In the caecum, the *Streptococcus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Clostridium* counts were considerably reduced. No *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Campylobacter* or *Clostridium* bacteria were found in the small intestine or in the caecum. These results conform with the results of earlier studies concerning the bacterial composition of the digestive tract of birds, including birds receiving probiotic bacteria (Barnes et al., 1972; Brzóska et al., 2005). The results confirmed that the probiotic containing *Lactobacillus paracasei* spp. *paracasei* KKP 824, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* KKP 825 and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, used together with mannan oligosaccharide in the diet of birds, increased the population of *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus* spp. bacteria in the small intestine and in the caecum, both in the unsupplemented control group and in the antibiotic-supplemented group.

CONCLUSIONS

Mannan oligosaccharide with lactic acid bacteria, with or without fumaric acid, was as effective in broiler diets as an antibiotic. These additives inhibit the count of *Escherichia coli* in the broiler digestive tract, reduce the chicken mortality and improve the dressing percentage in comparison with the antibiotic-containing diet. The investigated additives did not have adversely affect the breast or leg muscle yield, feed conversion, breast muscle pH or chemical composition of breast meat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Premixes Company BASF Kutno for preparing the premixes and Barbara Brzóska, M.Sc. for selecting and caring for the chickens, taking samples of feed, meat and digesta and the supervision of broiler slaughter. Special thanks are extended to the employees of the Central Laboratory of the

National Research Institute of Animal Production, Dr. Krystyna Sala, Marta Szczypuła M. Sc., and Zdzisław Czmer for performing the chemical analyses of feed, meat and statistical analyses of the data.

REFERENCES

- AOAC, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 15th Edition. Arlington, VA
- Audisio C.M., Oliver G., Apella M.C., 2000. Protective effect of *Enterococcus faecium* J96, a potential probiotic strain, on chicken infected with *Salmonella pullorum*. J. Food Protect. 10, 1333-1337
- Barnes E.M., Mead G.C., Barnum D.A., 1972. The intestinal flora of the chicken in the period 2 to 6 weeks of age, with particular reference to the anaerobic bacteria. Brit. Poultry Sci. 13, 311-326
- Berchieri Jr. A., Barrow P.A., 1996. Reduction in incidence of experimental fowl typhoid by incorporation of a commercial formic acid preparation (Bio-Add™) into poultry feed. Poultry Sci. 75, 339-341
- Brzóška F., Buluchevskij S.B., Śliwiński B., Stecka K., 2005. Preliminary study of the microbial spectrum of the digestive tract in broilers fed diets with and without antibiotic supplementation. J. Anim. Feed Sci. 14, Suppl. 1, 431-434
- Brzóška F., Grzybowski R., Stecka K., Pieszka M., 1999. Effect of probiotic microorganism vs. antibiotics on chicken broiler body weight, carcass yield and carcass quality. Ann. Anim. Sci. 26, 303-315
- Cavazzoni V., Adami A., Castrovilli C., 1998. Performance of broiler chicken supplemented with *Bacillus coagulans* as probiotic. Brit. Poultry Sci. 39, 526-529
- Chaveerach P., Keuzenkamp D.A., Lipman L.J.A., Van Knapen F., 2004. Effect of organic acid in drinking water for young broilers on campylobacter infection, volatile fatty acid production, gut microflora and histological changes. Poultry Sci. 83, 330-334
- Dalloul R.A., Lillahoj H.S., Shellem T.A., Doerr J.A., 2003. Enhanced mucosal immunity against *Eimeria acervulina* in broilers fed a Lactobacillus-based probiotic. Poultry Sci. 82, 62-66
- Fletcher D.L., 1999. Broiler meat color variation, pH and texture. Poultry Sci. 78, 1323-1327
- Gibson R.G., Roberfroid M.B., 1995. Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: Introducing the concept of prebiotics. J. Nutr. 125, 1401-1412
- Jin L.Z., Ho Y.W., Ali A.M., Abdullah N., Ong K.B., Jalaludin S., 1996. Adhesion of *Lactobacillus* isolates to intestinal epithelial cells of chicken. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 22, 229-232
- Joerger R.D., 2003. Alternatives to antibiotics: bacteriocins, antimicrobial peptides and bacteriophages. Poultry Sci. 82, 640-647
- Patterson J.A., Burkholder K.M., 2003. Application of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry production. Poultry Sci. 82, 627-631
- Pesti G.M., Bakalli R.I., Qiao M., Sterling K.G., 2002. A comparison of eight grades of fat as broiler ingredients. Poultry Sci. 81, 382-390
- Ricke S.C., 2003. Perspectives on the use of organic acids and short chain fatty acids as antimicrobials. Poultry Sci. 82, 632-639
- SAS, 1989. SAS/STAT User's Guide. Ver. 6. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC
- Savage T.F., Zakrzewska E.I., 1996. The performance of male turkeys fed a starter diet containing a mannan oligosaccharide (Bio-Mos) from day old to eight weeks of age. In: T.P. Lyons, K.A. Jacques (Editors). Biotechnology in the Feed Industry. Proceedings of Alltech's 12th Annual Symposium. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham (UK), pp. 47-54

- Simon O., Jadamus A., Vahjen W., 2001. Probiotic feed additives effectiveness and expected modes of action. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.* 10, 51-67
- Spring P., Wenk C., Dawson K.A., Newman K.E., 2000. The effects of dietary mannaoligosaccharides on cecal parameters and the concentrations of enteric bacteria in the ceca of salmonella-challenged broiler chicks. *Poultry Sci.* 79, 205-211
- Van der Wielen P.W., Biesterveld S., Notermans S., Hofstra H., Urlings B.A., Van Knapen F., 2000. Role of volatile fatty acids in development of the cecal microflora in broiler chickens during growth. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 2536-2540
- Young O.A., West J., Hart A.L., Van Otterdijk F.F.H., 2004. A method for early determination of meat ultimate pH. *Meat Sci.* 66, 493-498
- Zglobica A., Różycka B., 1972. *Chicken Carcass Slaughter Methods* (in Polish). Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (Editor). Warsaw, pp. 72-85
- Zulkifli I., Abdullah N., Azrin M.N., Ho Y.W., 2000. Growth performance and immune response of two commercial broiler strains fed diets containing *Lactobacillus* culture and oxytetracycline under heat stress condition. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 41, 593-597