Effect of dietary organic selenium (Se) on immune response, hepatic antioxidant status, selenoprotein gene expression and meat oxidative stability in lambs
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Introduction

Selenium (Se), as an essential micronutrient for livestock, is needed for proper antioxidant defense, thyroid function, reproduction, immunity and health (Mehdi et al., 2013). Se intake in animals is greatly influenced by the geographical distribution (Haug et al., 2007) and many parts of the world such as Europe and Asia report Se deficiency in animals (Saha, 2017). Se deficiency is linked with oxidative stress, myodegeneration, hepatic degeneration and immunosuppression. At high doses Se is toxic...
and results in alkali disease and mortality (Zhan et al., 2007; Mehdi et al., 2013; Mehdi and Dufrasne, 2016). For small ruminants, the maximum tolerable level of Se is 5 mg/kg (as fed), beyond which toxicity occurs (NRC, 2007). Dietary supplementation of Se is on the rise since the issue of sub-optimal concentrations of Se is frequently encountered in standard diets of many countries. Commercially available Se supplements include the inorganic mineral salts such as sodium selenite or selenate, and organic forms such as Se-enriched yeast. Currently, Se yeast has become widely employed source of Se in animal nutrition (Fagan et al., 2015).

_Peste des petits ruminants_ (PPR), identified as a transboundary animal disease by the World Organization of Animal Health (OIE) is an economically important contagious disease that poses a major threat to small ruminant production in endemic regions including India (Fakri et al., 2015). According to PPR Global Control and Eradication Strategy aiming to eradicate PPR by 2030 (OIE-FAO, 2015; Jones et al., 2016), improving immunity would be a better preventive strategy against PPR. Dietary Se yeast supplementation is demonstrated to improve antibody titre, neutrophil killing activity and reduce morbidity and mortality in weaned beef calves (Hall et al., 2013). In the recent studies dietary Se yeast that provided from 0.3 to 5 mg Se/kg of diet (as fed) augmented immunity to pathogens, restored humoral and innate immune responses in foot-rot affected sheep (FDA, 2018; Hughejiletu et al., 2013), and enhanced resistance to naturally occurring Haemomonchus contortus gastrointestinal parasitism in ewes (Hooper et al., 2014). Hence, we think that supplementation of Se at levels above 0.3 but less than 5 mg/kg of diet may enhance immune response to PPR vaccination in lambs, however it is not documented in small ruminants, especially in growing lambs, yet.

The biological functions of Se are mediated through selenoproteins that contain the 21st proteinogenic amino acid, selenocysteine (Sec). The number of selenoprotein-coding genes varies amongst species, with mammals possessing from 24 to 25 (Mariotti et al., 2012). Major selenoproteins includes: glutathione peroxidases (GPXs), thioredoxin reductases (TXNRDs), iodothyronine dediodinases (DIOs), selenoprotein P (SEPP1), selenoprotein W (SEPW1) and 15-kDa selenoprotein (SEP15; also called selenoprotein F (SELENOF)). GPXs possess peroxidase activity and provide protection from reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. TXNRDs control various redox processes of the cell and DIOs regulate thyroid hormone metabolism. SEPP1 is the Se transport protein with an additional antioxidant function, while SEPW1 is involved in the regulation of cell cycle and redox function. SEP15 belongs to the Rdx family and is involved in redox functioning (Mehdi et al., 2013; Gladyshev et al., 2016). Given the importance of nutrigenomics, the influence of essential micronutrients on animal genomes and subsequently their health is receiving remarkable attention. Se is known to regulate expression of selenoprotein genes in response to changes in Se nutrition in laboratory animals (Hu et al., 2010; Sunde and Raines, 2011), poultry (Sun et al., 2011; Yuan et al., 2013) and pigs (Zhou et al., 2009). Nevertheless, the regulation of selenoprotein genes by organic Se in liver is not known in lambs.

Lipids present in meat undergo peroxidation. Though peroxidation is a normal process, it affects nutritional quality of meat and generates toxic compounds for humans (Gordon, 2001). Dietary antioxidant supplementation is attempted as a strategy to improve oxidative stability of meat (Zhan et al., 2007). The antioxidant effect of Se is recognized for its various biological functions. Supplementation of Se as selenized yeast is shown to inhibit oxidative processes in meat of pigs (Zhan et al., 2007) and broilers (Chen et al., 2014). However attempts to improve oxidative stability in lamb meat through dietary Se yeast supplementation up to 0.45 ppm were not successful (Juniper et al., 2008; Vignola et al., 2009) and hence needs to be explored further with higher levels of Se. Such reduction in lipid oxidation in meat would be beneficial for sheep market and public health as sheep is a part of small ruminant sector that contributes to global food security and nutrition, specifically in developing countries like India (Birthal, 2002; Oliveira et al., 2014).

Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of dietary organic Se supplementation on immune response, hepatic antioxidant status, mRNA expression of major selenoproteins and oxidative stability of lamb meat.

**Material and methods**

**Chemicals and reagents**

All used chemicals were either analytical or of molecular biology grade and were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), Hi-Media Laboratories (Mumbai, India) or Sisco Research laboratory Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India.
Animal housing and management

Twenty male lambs of a local breed, aged 5–6 months were used for the study. The animals were maintained at the Experimental Livestock Unit of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research-National Institute of Animal Nutrition and Physiology (ICAR-NIANP), Bangalore (India). The lambs were housed individually in a well ventilated barn with separate feeding and water facilities. The experimental protocol was approved by the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals, Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change, Government of India (S. No. 4./2015). Necessary prophylactic measures and protocols were adhered to protect animals from common bacterial and other ecto- and endo-parasitic infestations. The animals were acclimatized for two months prior to setting up of the experiment. During the entire period of the study, the health conditions of lambs were closely monitored for any possible injury and abnormal behaviour.

Animal distribution and experimental diets

The lambs were distributed by a completely randomized design into 4 dietary groups consisting of 5 lambs in each group. Animals were fed basal diet composed of concentrate mixture and finger millet straw (Eleusine coracana) in the 50:50 ratio. Diet was formulated to meet the nutrient requirements according to Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR-NIANP, 2013) with the exception of Se. The ingredient composition of concentrate mixture of basal diet is shown in Table 1. The four dietary treatments were: basal diet (control), basal diet supplemented with 0.5 ppm Se (Se-0.5 group), 1.5 ppm Se (Se-1.5 group) and 4.5 ppm Se (Se-4.5 group). The dose range of Se was selected in order to represent the minimum and maximum level of supplementation above the FDA permitted level of 0.3 mg/kg and below the maximum tolerable level of 5 mg/kg of diet (as fed), respectively. The organic Se source used in the present study was SEL-PLEX 2000 (Alltech Biotechnology Private Limited, Bangalore, India) with the following characteristics: Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain: CNCM I-3060; organic Se in the form of selenomethionine: 63%; low molecular weight selenocompounds: 35%; and residual inorganic Se: <3% (FEEDAP, 2011). Se was supplemented in concentrate and fed individually to animals on daily basis throughout 90-day experimental period. Each animal had free access to clean and fresh water on a daily basis.

Estimation of basal Se in the experimental diets

The basal Se content of concentrate mixture and forage fed (finger millet straw) were determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry at Indian Institute of Food Processing Technology, Ministry of Food Processing Industries, Tamil Nadu (India), and found to be 0.018 and 0.003 ppm, respectively.

Assessment of immune response

After 69 days of experimental feeding, all lambs were vaccinated with Peste des petits ruminants (PPR) virus vaccine (10^3 TCID₅₀). Blood samples were collected from jugular vein before and after 21 days from vaccination (the end of the trial). Serum was separated and antibody titre against PPR virus was determined by competitive enzyme linked immunosorbent assay kit of Indian Veterinary Research Institute, India (Singh et al., 2004).

Collection of liver samples for antioxidant assay and gene expression analysis

At the end of experiment, all lambs were sacrificed and liver samples were collected for antioxidant and gene expression analysis. About 5 g of tissue samples were stored immediately at −80 °C for antioxidant assays, whereas 1 g of tissue was dipped in RNA Later (Cat. No. AM7020; Invitrogen BioServices India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, India) and stored at −80 °C until further analysis.

Measurement of total antioxidant capacity

Liver sample homogenates were prepared according to the procedure described by Luciano et al. (2011) and used to assess total antioxidant capacity according to Benzie and Strain (1996).

Determination of relative expression of genes encoding selenoproteins in liver samples

Designing of primers for the examined and reference genes. Primers for selenoprotein genes (Table 2) were designed using Primer 3 web tool
The relative mRNA abundances of 7 genes encoding selenoproteins were assayed in all the groups. The examined genes included: glutathione peroxidase 1 (GPX1), glutathione peroxidase 3 (GPX3), iodothyronine deiodinase 1 (DIO1), iodothyronine deiodinase 3 (DIO3), thioredoxin reductase 1 (TXNRD1), 15-kDa selenoprotein like protein (SEP15), and selenoprotein W1 (SEPW1). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the endogenous control gene.

**RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis**

Total RNA from liver samples was isolated using TRIReagent BD (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and cleaned up using RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India). The RNA quality and quantity were determined by measuring the absorbance at 260 and 280 nm using the NanoDrop-ND 2000C spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and checking the integrity of total RNA by agarose gel electrophoresis. cDNA was synthesized from total RNA by reverse transcription using Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche Diagnostics India Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India) and stored at −80 °C until further use.

**Real-Time PCR assay**

The expression of the target genes was measured by SYBR green based Real-Time PCR assay relative to GAPDH as the endogenous control gene. The efficiency of the endogenous control and target genes assays was determined in 5-folds serially diluted cDNA template starting from 50 ng to 0.4 ng in duplicate with no template control (NTC) and negative reversed transcription control (10 ng of total RNA) run in triplicate. The PCR amplification of endogenous control and target genes in actual samples was performed in triplicate in 10 μl of reaction mixture which contained: 0.5 μl of each forward and reverse primers (0.25 μM), 5 μl of 2× Faststart SYBR Green master mix (Cat. No. 6924204001, Roche Diagnostics India Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India) and 4 μl (10 ng) of cDNA for the samples (+RT) or 4 μl of total RNA for negative reverse transcriptase control (−RT) or 4 μl of PCR grade water for NTC, respectively. The PCR reaction was conducted with the use of Light Cycler® 480 instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). The PCR cycling conditions were comprised of: 95 °C for 3 min for initial denaturation followed by 50 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s (denaturation), 60 °C for 30 s (annealing) and 72 °C for 15 s (extension). The melt curve analysis condition consisted of: 1-min initial denaturation at 95 °C, annealing at 55 °C and melting at 55–95 °C for 10 s. The GAPDH expression was measured in the samples and was used to normalize the variations in the amount of target genes mRNA in all samples. The relative mRNA abundance of target genes in tissue samples was determined by the method of Livak and Schmittgen (2001) in which,

### Table 2. List of selected selenoprotein genes and endogenous control gene with their primers properties used in qPCR analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Primer length, bp</th>
<th>Tm, °C</th>
<th>Amplicon size, bp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione peroxidase 1 (GPX1)</td>
<td>XM_004018462.4</td>
<td>GCTCTCCGGGGATTTTGCC TGTGGTCTGGGAAAGGGGA</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione peroxidase 3 (GPX3)</td>
<td>XM_015096153.2</td>
<td>CAGGAAGGCTTGAACCATCG CTCGAACATACTTGAGGGTGGC</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioredoxin reductase 1 (TXNRD1)</td>
<td>XM_004006684.4</td>
<td>CTGAAGGCTTCTCAGGAAAGC AGGGTCGTAGTCTAGGGTTCC</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodothyronine deiodinase 1 (DIO1)</td>
<td>XM_004001999.4</td>
<td>ATCCCTACCTTCTCAGTGCC CTGTCGTAGTCTAGGGTTCC</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodothyronine deiodinase 3 (DIO3)</td>
<td>NM_001122650.1</td>
<td>GCCCTTACACTGAGGTTG GCGCGTGATACCTGGTGAC</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-kDa selenoprotein like protein (SEP15), selenoprotein F (SELENOF)</td>
<td>XM_004002164.4</td>
<td>AGCACACGCCCATGATGTTG CGCAAACTGTAATCAGGGTTT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenoprotein P1 (SEPP1)</td>
<td>XM_027980091.1</td>
<td>CGGAAGGGGTCTCGAACAC GTCTCTGTTCTCAGGGTTTAG</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenoprotein W1 (SEPW1)</td>
<td>XM_004023205.3</td>
<td>TTGTTTACTATGGGCCTTGAGG CCCGCATCGAACACCTTTAAGG</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)</td>
<td>NM_001190390.1</td>
<td>AGGAGGCAAGGAGGAGAAGAG TGAGGTGAAATGTATGGAG</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(http://primer3.ut.ee/). The relative mRNA abundances of 7 genes encoding selenoproteins were assayed in all the groups. The examined genes included: glutathione peroxidase 1 (GPX1), glutathione peroxidase 3 (GPX3), iodothyronine deiodinase 1 (DIO1), iodothyronine deiodinase 3 (DIO3), thioredoxin reductase 1 (TXNRD1), selenoprotein P1 (SEPP1), 15-kDa selenoprotein like protein (SEP15), and selenoprotein W1 (SEPW1). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the endogenous control gene.
the ΔCq value is the difference between the target and reference gene \( \Delta C_q = C_{q \text{target}} - C_{q \text{reference}} \). For each of the target genes, the normalized relative expression was calculated with use of method, where \( \Delta \Delta C_q = \Delta C_q \text{sample} - \Delta C_q \text{control} \). PCR reaction of each sample was conducted in triplicate.

**Histology of tissues**

Immediately after sacrifice, samples of liver, kidney, thymus and spleen were collected, placed in 10% formalin and then processed and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (Bancroft and Stevens, 1996) with some modifications. The obtained histological preparations were observed under light microscope (Eclipse 80i, Nikon, Shisuka, Japan).

**Determination of Se in liver**

The Se content in liver was quantified using Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry (Optima™ 8000, Perkin Elmer Inc, Waltham, MA, USA) by the method of Fallah et al. (2011).

**Storage studies**

At 24 h post-mortem, from each individual lamb, *longissimus dorsi* (LD) muscle was sampled and stored at 4 °C. Before storage and after 3, 7 and 10 days of storage, lipid oxidation status was measured by determining malondialdehyde (MDA) level according to Luciano et al. (2011).

**Statistical analyses of data**

Data generated from antioxidant assays and immune response study were analysed by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, version 18.0, 2010; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan’s multiple range post hoc test for antioxidant assay and by Tukey’s post hoc test for immune study. The effects were considered significant at \( P \leq 0.05 \). Data on gene expression were subjected to one-way ANOVA analysis followed by post hoc LSD test to compare the difference between control and Se-supplemented groups. In gene expression analysis, significance level was set at \( P \leq 0.05 \). Results are presented as means ± standard error of mean (SE).

**Results**

**Immune response in PPR vaccinated lambs**

The PPR antibody titre quantified on day 0 of vaccination showed no significant difference between lambs of control group and Se supplemented groups. However, on day 21 after vaccination increased values of PPR antibody titre analysis were observed in Se-1.5 and Se-4.5 groups compared to control one. In contrast, no significant difference was observed between Se-0.5 and control groups (Table 3).

**Hepatic antioxidant status**

Hepatic total antioxidant capacity of lambs fed diet supplemented with 0.5 ppm Se did not differ from the control one. On the other hand, hepatic total antioxidant capacity was significantly higher \( (P \leq 0.05) \) in lambs fed diet supplemented with 1.5 and 4.5 ppm Se in comparison to control animals (Table 4).

**Relative mRNA expression of specific selenoprotein genes**

In comparison to control animals, hepatic GPX1 and GPX3 mRNA expression was significantly up-regulated \( (P < 0.05) \) in lambs fed diet supplemented

---

### Table 3. The PPR antibody titre in serum of control and selenium supplemented lambs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PPR antibody titre, % inhibition</th>
<th>Groups(^1)</th>
<th>control</th>
<th>Se-0.5</th>
<th>Se-1.5</th>
<th>Se-4.5</th>
<th>( P )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.2 ± 1.23</td>
<td>17.5 ± 1.01</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.06</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.83</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 21</td>
<td></td>
<td>74.9 ± 0.80(^a)</td>
<td>76.9 ± 2.38(^bc)</td>
<td>82.9 ± 2.97(^ab)</td>
<td>85.4 ± 1.55(^a)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Groups: control – basal diet, Se-0.5 – basal diet + 0.5 ppm Se, Se-1.5 – basal diet + 1.5 ppm Se, Se-4.5 – basal diet + 4.5 ppm Se; \(^a,b,c\) – means with different superscripts within a row are significantly different \((P \leq 0.05)\); values represent means ± standard error.

### Table 4. Total antioxidant activity in the liver of control and selenium supplemented lambs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Groups(^1)</th>
<th>control</th>
<th>Se-0.5</th>
<th>Se-1.5</th>
<th>Se-4.5</th>
<th>( P )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRAP(^2), mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/g liver</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.69 ± 0.92(^a)</td>
<td>20.23 ± 2.17(^a)</td>
<td>35.35 ± 3.16(^a)</td>
<td>30.02 ± 3.50(^a)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Groups: control – basal diet, Se-0.5 – basal diet + 0.5 ppm Se, Se-1.5 – basal diet + 1.5 ppm Se, Se-4.5 – basal diet + 4.5 ppm Se; \(^2\) FRAP – ferric reducing ability of plasma; \(^a\) – means with different superscripts within a row are significantly different \((P \leq 0.001)\); values represent means ± standard error.
with 0.5 ppm Se. However, there was no difference in GPX1 and GPX3 mRNA expressions between Se-1.5 or Se-4.5 group and control one (Figure 1). The hepatic TXNRD1 mRNA expression was similar in control, Se-0.5 and Se-1.5 groups; however, it was down-regulated ($P < 0.05$) in Se-4.5 group as compared to the control one (Figure 2). The expression of hepatic DIO1 did not differ significantly between control and Se supplemented groups (Figure 3). However, DIO3 mRNA expression was increased ($P < 0.05$) in lambs fed diet supplemented with 4.5ppm Se but not in Se-0.5 and Se-1.5 groups in comparison to the control one (Figure 3). Supplementation of 1.5 ppm Se into lamb diet increased ($P < 0.05$) hepatic SEPP1 mRNA levels. However, the higher (4.5 ppm) and lower (0.5 ppm) Se addition did not affect the expression of this gene as compared to control (Figure 4). The expression of SEPW1 did not change when diet was supplemented with 0.5 ppm Se; however 1.5 ppm supplementation resulted in down-regulation and 4.5 ppm caused up-regulation of this gene in comparison to the control group. None of the levels of Se used in the present study affected the hepatic SEP15 mRNA expression in lamb liver.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** The relative gene expression of glutathione peroxidase 1 (GPX1) and 3 (GPX3) in liver of control and selenium supplemented lambs

The fold change of expression was calculated using the formula of Livak and Schmittgen (2001) and normalized against the expression of control values; values represent means ± standard error; ab and AB – bars with different superscripts for each gene are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** The relative gene expression of hepatic thioredoxin reductase 1 (TXNRD1) in liver of control and selenium supplemented lambs

Values represent means ± standard error; ab – bars with different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3.** The relative gene expression of iodothyronine deiodinase 1 (DIO1) and 3 (DIO3) in liver of control and selenium supplemented lambs

Values represent means ± standard error; ab and AB – bars with different superscripts for each gene are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)

![Figure 4](image4.png)

**Figure 4.** The relative gene expression of selenoprotein P (SEPP1), selenoprotein W1 (SEPW1) and 15-kDa selenoprotein 15 (SEP15) in liver of control and selenium supplemented lambs

Values represent means ± standard error; ab and AB – bars with different superscripts for each gene are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)
Histology of vital organs and Se liver content

Microscopic observations of liver, kidney, spleen and thymus of control, Se-0.5, Se-1.5 and Se-4.5 groups showed normal architecture without any adverse changes. The liver tissues exhibited normal architecture with hepatocytes arranged in radiating cords like pattern from the central vein (Figure 5). The average Se content in liver of lambs fed control and Se supplemented diets (0.5, 1.5 and 4.5 ppm Se) was 124, 47.308, 35.65 and 68.6 ppb, respectively.

Oxidative stability of stored meat

Before storage (day 0) the MDA levels in meat from lambs fed diet supplemented with 1.5 and 4.5 ppm Se were significantly lower ($P < 0.001$) as compared to meat from animals fed control diet. However, on days 3, 7 and 10 of storage, no significant difference was observed amongst groups (Table 5).

Discussion

Peste des petits ruminants (PPR) is an acute, contagious and common fatal disease of sheep and goats, caused by a morbillivirus. To control and eradicate PPR, vaccination is considered as the best method, especially in countries in which controlling animal movement is difficult (Jones et al., 2016). In the present study an enhancement in immune response to PPR vaccine was observed in lambs fed diet supplemented with 1.5 and 4.5 ppm Se. In line with the results of current study, Hall et al. (2011) reported improved humoral response to vaccination with a J-5 Escherichia coli bacterin in adult beef cows fed Se supplemented feed. Inspite of the established immune enhancing potential of Se, the detailed mechanism of Se mediating this function remains unknown. However, the improved immune response observed herein could be linked to up-regulation of lymphocyte protein biosynthesis genes, enhanced lymphocyte function (Paganantidis et al., 2008) and enrichment of several immune system-related biological processes (Elgendy et al., 2016). In addition to enhanced immune response, in our study the fact that feeding diet supplemented with 1.5 and 4.5 ppm Se improved hepatic antioxidant capacity was revealed. These findings are in agreement with the study of Yue et al. (2009) who reported improved antioxidant status in goats fed diets supplemented with 0.349 to 0.5496 mg/kg DM of selenomethionine. Since the hepatic antioxidant system plays a major role in mammalian health (Juszczuk-Kubiak et al., 2016), the augmented antioxidant activity observed in these animals has a greater physiological significance in protecting against oxidative stress.

Table 5. Lipid oxidation expressed as thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) before (day 0) and on day 3, 7 and 10 of storage in longissimus dorsi muscles of control and selenium supplemented lambs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TBARS, mg MDA/kg meat</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control</td>
<td>Se-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.08a</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.10a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 10</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Groups: control – basal diet, Se-0.5 – basal diet + 0.5 ppm Se, Se-1.5 – basal diet + 1.5 ppm Se, Se-4.5 – basal diet + 4.5 ppm Se; MDA = malondialdehyde; a,b – means with different superscripts within a row are significantly different ($P \leq 0.01$); values represent means ± standard error.
Liver is the major organ primarily involved in processing of dietary Se for transport, distribution to other tissues and for excretion. Liver is more responsive than other tissues, such as longissimus dorsi muscle, to dietary Se supplementation (Juszczuk-Kubiak et al., 2016). In the present study, hepatic selenoprotein gene expression showed three patterns, i.e. increased, decreased and unaltered expression in response to organic Se supplementation. The observed changes in expression is supportive of the fact that some selenoproteins are more sensitive to a specific dose in comparison to others (Fairweather-Tait et al., 2011).

GPX1 is the cytosolic antioxidant selenoprotein ubiquitously expressed in all cells and its promoter possesses an oxygen responsive element. GPX1 converts endogenously formed reactive oxygen species such as hydroperoxides and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) to their corresponding alcohols and thereby offers protection against oxidative damage (Stoytcheva and Berry, 2009; Zhang et al., 2013). GPX3 is the only extracellular antioxidant GPX enzyme. GPX3 promoter possess functional consensus site for the redox regulated transcription factor activator protein 1 in the 5'-region, a novel transcription start site, functional stimulating protein 1 (sp1), hypoxia-inducible factor-1 binding sites and as well as redox sensitive ARE/EpRE and putative metal response element (Stoytcheva and Berry, 2009). In the current study, both hepatic GPX1 and GPX3 genes were found to be up-regulated by 0.5 ppm Se supplementation, suggesting their response to dietary Se. However, in our experiment it was shown that supplementing diet with 1.5 and 4.5 ppm Se did not influence GPX1 mRNA expression. During limited Se supply, GPX1 mRNA are highly susceptible to nonsense mediated decay (NMD) and their levels are regulated by this mechanism rather than the transcriptional regulation process (Stoytcheva and Berry, 2009). Since NMD, is a post-transcriptional mechanism that regulates gene expression in eukaryotic cells (Han et al., 2018), the possibility of existence of a similar mechanism during exposure to 1.5 and 4.5 ppm Se needs to be explored. Although H$_2$O$_2$ is linked with oxidative stress, it is as an important signaling molecule involved in regulation of number of biological processes and pathways such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, stress response, and mitochondria-related functions. Though GPX1 counteracts the damaging effects of H$_2$O$_2$ overexpression of GPX1 leads to stress reduction stress and disruption of H$_2$O$_2$ signalling (Labunksy et al., 2014). Therefore, it is clear that the absence of changes in GPX1 mRNA levels in liver of lambs fed diets supplemented with 1.5 and 4.5 ppm Se is a protective trend exhibited by the biological system. With respect to GPX3, its regulation occurs primarily at the translation stage (Zhang et al., 2013), thus ascertaining the unchanged GPX3 gene expression pattern in lambs fed diet with 1.5 and 4.5 ppm Se addition.

Being expressed ubiquitously, mammalian TXNRDs works in combination with thioredoxin to maintain redox balance inside cells. TXNRD1 controls a number of physiological processes such as antioxidant defense, regulation of transcription factors, and apoptosis (Mehdi et al., 2013). Though feeding diet with 0.5 and 1.5 ppm Se did not induce significant changes, in the present study changes in TXNRD1 mRNA levels in lambs fed diet supplemented with 4.5 ppm Se were revealed. Stoytcheva and Berry (2009) have reported that TXNRD1 mRNA levels are post-transcriptionally modulated via a cluster of AU rich motifs located in the 3'-UTR of the TXNRD1 mRNA. Although thyroxine is produced and released from thyroid, the hepatic DIO1 is most important in catalyzing the circulating thyroxine to the more active 3,5,3,-tri-iodothyronine (T3) (Arthur et al., 1990) and DIO1 is highly expressed in liver (Stoytcheva and Berry, 2009). On the other hand, DIO3 catalyzes the inactivation of the active thyroid hormone T3 by sequentially removing iodine groups. Differences in DIO1 and DIO3 mRNA expression observed in our study indicate that Se influence different DIO gene expression in an independent way.

The up-regulation in SEPP1 mRNA expression in lambs fed diet supplemented with 1.5 ppm Se is reported in this study. Interestingly, this group exhibited highest mRNA abundance, across the dose of Se and selenoprotein genes studied in this experiment. Our results reinforce that liver is the major source of plasma selenoprotein P which is transported and taken up by other target organs through an APOER2 receptor mediated process for selenoprotein biosynthesis (Juszczuk-Kubiak et al., 2016).The highest SEPP1 mRNA could be associated with the improved antioxidant capacity observed in Se-1.5 group as SEPP1 is an effective antioxidant (Mehdi et al., 2013). Strikingly, SEPP1 mRNA showed no alterations in lambs from Se-4.5 group, indicating insensitivity of this gene to higher levels of Se. In our study up-regulation of SEPW1 mRNA by lambs feeding with diet supplemented with 4.5 ppm Se was observed. Our results are in agreement with previous reports concerning beef heifers fed 3.0 mg Se/day for 168 days (Matthews et al., 2014). SEPW1 specifically binds to glutathione and removes reactive oxygen species such as H$_2$O$_2$, superoxide anion radical, and hydroxyl.
radical and thereby functions as an antioxidant (Mariotti et al., 2012). Thus the improved hepatic antioxidant capacity observed in Se-4.5 group in the current investigation reinforces the antioxidant function of SEPW1 (Sun et al., 2011). However, the observed down-regulation of SEPW1 in 1.5-Se group appears to be unique. Therefore, it is possible that, in addition to transcriptional regulation, various post-transcriptional mechanisms may be involved in its regulation. Indeed, SP family of transcription factors and specifically SP1, are suggested to bind to various regulatory sequences of the SEPW1 promoter to activate or repress transcription of SEPW1 gene (Stoytcheva and Berry, 2009). SEP15 is known to function as thiol-disulphide isomerase that is involved in disulphide bond formation (Sun et al., 2011) and as antioxidant (Mehdi et al., 2013). Studies on SEP15 gene in ruminants are limited. Therefore the absence of change in hepatic SEP15 mRNA expression due to Se supplementation in the present study unveil a new dimension on the regulation of SEP15 by dietary Se.

Se concentration in liver is sensitive to changes in dietary Se and excess Se is stored in liver, kidney, heart, immune organs and muscles (Zhang et al., 2013). Previous studies reported doubling of hepatic Se concentrations in goats fed diet supplemented with 0.3 ppm organic Se as compared to control animals fed 0.03 ppm Se (Shi et al., 2011) and in broilers fed 0.45–0.6 ppm organic Se compared to birds fed 0.15 ppm Se (Oliveira et al., 2014). Davis et al. (2008) reported elevated liver Se levels in wether sheep fed diet supplemented with high doses of 20, 30 and 40 ppm organic Se as compared to controls fed 0.2 mg Se/kg. Though organic Se-supplemented animals exhibited higher Se liver content than controls, a proportional or dose-dependent increase with respect to dietary Se was not observed and in animals fed 30 mg/kg highest liver Se concentrations amongst all treatments was recorded. Similarly, in the present study, Se levels in control group were the highest and a dose-dependent increase in liver Se concentrations with increasing dietary Se was not observed in lambs fed diet supplemented with 0.5, 1.5 and 4.5 ppm Se. Such a trend implies the possible operation of a specialized homeostatic mechanism in sheep supplemented with organic Se above 0.3 ppm levels and is a future area of investigation.

No Se toxicity symptoms such as hair loss, fragility of fingernails or hooves, gastrointestinal upsets, skin rashes and/or unpleasant ‘garlic’ odour in exhaled air (dimethylselenide) (Kieliszek and Blażejak, 2016) were observed in the study. To assess if the different doses of Se used in the present study induce any toxic effects, histology of organs was studied. The liver samples of Se supplemented lambs did not show any pathological changes in the cell architecture and these findings are further supported by limited Se accumulation in the liver. In line with the current findings, no gross and pathological changes were reported in liver tissue of wether lambs fed diets up to ≤10 ppm dietary Se as selenite for one year (Cristaldi et al., 2005). Similar to liver, kidney, thymus and spleen tissues showed normal architecture, indicating that no toxicity developed up to dose of 4.5 ppm organic Se in this study. Oxidative stability of meat is related to lipid peroxidation in meat. Lipid peroxidation, a natural phenomenon that occurs in meat is a major cause of quality deterioration of meat and its products. Malondialdehyde (MDA), one of the end products of lipid peroxidation is a widely accepted marker of lipid peroxidation (Vignola et al., 2009). In a recent study, Czauderna et al. (2018) have observed reduction in lipid peroxidation in longissimus dorsi muscle of lambs fed diets enriched with rapeseed oil and fish oil supplemented with Se along with another antioxidant – carnosic acid. Previously, Zhan et al. (2007) observed reduced MDA content in pork from pigs fed diet supplemented with Se and attributed the advantageous results to the antioxidant effect of Se. The results of present study showed no appreciable differences in MDA content in meat after 3, 7 and 10 days of storage and are in line with the findings of Vignola et al. (2009). Thus it is reasonable to suggest to not consider dietary Se as a probable mode to improve oxidative stability of meat, specifically in lambs.

Conclusions

Dietary supplementation of organic selenium (Se) at the dose of 1.5 ppm to growing lambs seems to be an efficient strategy to enhance immune response to Peste des petits ruminants vaccine as well as to increase the antioxidant status of liver without inducing negative effects on histology. Further, expression of genes encoding selenoproteins depends on their type and dose of Se in the diet. The study further demonstrated that supplementation of organic Se even up to 4.5 ppm may not be helpful to enhance oxidative stability of meat, specifically in lambs.
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